MAHAVIRA:
His Life and Teachings

By

Bimala Churn Law
Ph.D., M.A., B.L.

Honorary Correspondent, Archæological Survey of India; Joint Editor, Annual Bibliography of Indian Archæology published by the Kern Institute, Holland; Indian Culture Author, Some Ksatriya Tribes of Ancient India, Historical Gleanings, Life and Work of Buddhaghosa, A History of Pāli Literature, Geography of Early Buddhism, etc., etc.

LUZAC & CO,
46, GREAT RUSSELL STREET,
LONDON, W.C. I
1937

To the sacred memory of my esteemed friend,

Puran Chand Nahar,

a life-long devotee and an exponent of Jainism

PREFACE

In this little treatise an attempt has been made to give an account of the life and teachings of Mahāvīra, the last Tīrthankara of the Jains, from the original Buddhist and Jain texts. A comparative study of both the texts helps us greatly in elucidating some knotty points of his life and doctrine. We think that it will be found useful by all persons interested in the study of Jainism.

CALCUTTA,
43, Kailas Bose Street,
The 16th November, 1936

BIMALA CHURN LAW.

CONTENTS

MAHĀVĪRA : HIS LIFE AND TEACHINGS

I

LIFE

Modern historical research establishes beyond doubt that Mahāvīra, well known as the founder of Jainism, is not a mythical figure like so many of his predecessors in the tradition of the Tīrthankaras Pārśva or Supārśva, who too has been proved to be a historical person, was the immediate predecessor of Mahāvīra. There is, of course, no other evidence than literary to prove the authenticity of the Jain traditions regarding Mahāvīra and his predecessor Pārśva The Jain inscriptional evidence is so far remote from the age in which they had flourished that nothing can be definitely built upon it But as early as the 3rd century B C, we have mention of the Niganthas in the Seventh Pillar Edict of Aśoka as a distinct class of Indian recluses The Niganṭhas mentioned in this famous epigraph were no other than the followers of Mahāvīra. This very class of recluses has been honoured in the Hathigumphā inscription of Khāravela as the

Arhata Śramanas In the inscription of Khāravela's chief queen, the same Order of recluses has been honoured as Śramanas who were believers in the faith of the Arhats (Arhaṃta-pasādānam samaṇānam) [1] As a matter of fact, all the cave-dwellings (leṇas) on the Udayagiri and Khaṇdagiri hills were made by Khāravela and others for the residence of the Jaina recluses

The literary evidence on which this account of the Jaina Tīrthankara is based, is twofold (1) direct, and (2) collateral The direct evidence is that which is furnished by the texts of the Jaina Canon, called Āgama or Siddhānta And the collateral evidence is that which may be gathered from the texts of the Buddhist Canon Collating these two sources of information, we can not only prepare a sketch of the life of Mahāvīra but draw a fairly vivid picture of Northern India depicting the social, political, economic, religious, and other conditions of the time in which he lived, moved, and preached The same two sets of texts enable us also to have a clear vision of the geography of that portion of Northern India which became the scene of activities of the Jaina Tīrthaṅkara and his immediate followers All these may be so realis-

[1] Epigraphia Indica, Vol XIII.

tically painted before our eyes that nothing will appear to be either exaggerated or untrue

If one wants to know who the Nirgranthas (Unfettered Ones) were, the answer comes straight from the Buddhist texts that they were the followers of a Tīrthaṅkara, named Nigantha Nātaputta One Sutta faithfully presents the fundamental doctrine of the Nirgranthas with a criticism from the Buddhist point of view.[1] One Sutta attributes to Nigantha Nātaputta certain religious discipline which was in a way the cult of the earlier Nirgranthas, the followers of Pārśva [2] One Sutta yields a faithful description of the *uposatha* as practised by the Nirgranthas.[3] One Sutta correctly hints at the fact that the lay supporters of the Nirgranthas were called Sāvakas or Śrāvakas instead of Upāsakas [4] One Sutta accurately names the place where the demise of Nigaṇṭha Nātaputta took place, and how immediately after his demise, his followers, the Nirgranthas, became divided into two camps [5] There

---

[1] Cūladukkhakkhandha Sutta, M N , I, pp 91 foll

[2] Sāmaññaphala Sutta, Dīgha-N , I, pp 47 foll

[3] Nigaṇṭhūposatho, Anguttara-N , I, pp 205 foll

[4] Upāli Sutta, M N , I, pp. 371 foll.

[5] Sāmagāma Sutta, M.N , II, pp 243 foll

are several Suttas in which Nigaṇṭha Nātaputta is associated with five other Tīrthaṅkaras who passed as notable personalities and leaders of thought.[1] Some of the Suttas introduce to their reader some of the immediate disciples and contemporary lay followers of the Jaina Tīrthankara[2] There is a Sutta which vividly paints the character of the Nirgranthas as strong advocates of vegetarian diet[3] There are Suttas that furnish a catalogue of the punctilious ways of certain naked ascetics of the time,[4]—the ways which might be shown to be precisely those observed by the Jinakalpikas among the Nirgranthas The same set of texts introduces to us the kings and clans and classes of people who directly or indirectly supported the Nirgrantha movement in Northern India in the Tīrthankara's lifetime. These very texts precisely name the countries and places important in the early history of Jainism The same authorities help us to form a fairly accurate idea of the distances between those countries and places, and of the roads or routes by which they might be reached

---

[1] Sāmaññaphala Sutta, Dīgha, I, pp 47 foll

[2] Vinaya Texts, S B E, Vol XVII, pp 108 foll.

[3] Cf Sīha's account, *ibid*, Vol XVII, pp 108 foll

[4] Kassapasīhanāda Sutta, Dīgha, I, pp 161 foll

by a person in course of his wanderings Lastly, these texts of the Buddhists coupled with those of the Jains enable us to breathe very atmosphere of thought and of life in which Mahāvīra moved with his Nirgrantha followers

Nigantha Nātaputta is the name by which Mahāvīra was and has been known to the Buddhists. It is undoubtedly the name by which he was known to his own followers as well as to his other contemporaries The name is composed of two separate epithets, Nigantha and Nātaputta, the first of which is religious and the second secular. He was *nigantha* (*nirgrantha*) in a literal as well as in a figurative sense outwardly unclothed and inwardly unfettered. His followers were accordingly known as *Niganthaputta* (*Nirgranthaputras*), or simply *Niganthas* (*Nirgranthas*) And his lay followers became known as *Nigantha-sāvakā*[1]

The Buddhist texts and commentaries do not definitely explain why he was called Nātaputta. With the aid of the Jain literature, however, we at once know that he was called Nātaputta because he was a scion of the Nāya, Nāta or Jñātr clan of Ksatriyas. Just as the Buddha was called Sākyaputta because he was a scion of the Sakya

[1] Culla-Niddesa, p 173

clan, so was Mahāvīra called Nātaputta because he was a scion of the Nāta clan

He is introduced in some of the Buddhist texts, along with five other contemporary teachers, as ' the head of an order, of a following, the teacher of a school, well-known and of repute as a sophist, revered by the people, a man of experience who has long been a recluse, old, and well-stricken in years '[1] The phrase ' old and well-stricken in years ' was not meant to be interpreted literally and too rigorously It was meant to be interpreted rather loosely as signifying that Mahāvīra was senior to the Buddha in age, i e an elder contemporary[2] In point of fact, in some of the texts this phrase is omitted altogether[3] And all the six teachers, including Mahāvīra, predeceased the Buddha[4]

The early records of the Buddhists clearly attest that Mahāvīra died at Pāvā. When the news that as soon as Mahāvīra died, his followers fell quarrelling among themselves, having been divided into two camps, was conveyed to the

---

[1] Dialogues of the Buddha, S B B, II, p 66, Sāmaññaphala Sutta, Digha, I, p 49

[2] Cf Sabhiya Sutta, Sutta Nipāta, pp 92 foll

[3] Majjhima-Nikāya, II, pp 2-3

[4] Majjhima-Nikāya, II, 243; Pātika Sutta, Dīgha, III.

Buddha, it caused much alarm to him as to how his own followers would behave after his death.[1] These very records also attest that Mahāvīra was alive even after Ajātaśatru had usurped the throne of Magadha [2] and Devadatta had been declared by the Buddha to be a hopelessly wicked man and utterly incorrigible in his ways [3]

Aṅga-Magadha,[4] the territories of the Vṛji-Licchavis, and Mallas, and the kingdom of Kāśī-Kośala are mentioned as the places which became the scene of wanderings of Mahāvīra and activities of his Nirgrantha followers in the Buddha's life-time The Buddhist texts specifically mention Rājagaha (Rājagrha), Nālandā, Vesālī (Vaiśālī), Pāvā, and Sāvatthī (Śrāvastī), as places where the activities of Mahāvīra and his immediate followers were concentrated These texts clearly mention Vesālī as the place where the religion of Mahāvīra found its staunch supporters among the Licchavis.

The Nirgranthas claimed that their Master was ' all-knowing and all-seeing and endowed with unlimited knowledge and vision ', who alone could declare that, whether he was walking or standing

---

[1] Sāmagāma Sutta, Majjhima N , I

[2] Sāmaññaphala Sutta, Dīgha, I

[3] Abhayarājakumāra Sutta, M N , I.

[4] Majjhima-Nikāya, II, p 2.

still, sleeping or awake, the unlimited knowledge and vision were constantly his—continuous and unperturbed[1] The Pāli *aparisesa*, occurring as it does as a predicate of that knowledge and vision, is just a synonym of the Jaina term *kevala*

The teaching of Mahāvīra which commended itself to the Nirgranthas and satisfied them is characteristically represented thus · 'Whatsoever a person experiences, whether it is pleasant or painful or neither pleasant nor painful, is due to his *karma* (totality of deeds) in the past. Hence by extenuating through penance (tapasā) the effect of all past deeds and by not accumulating the effect of fresh deeds, the future gliding in rebirth is stopped, with the future gliding in rebirth stopped, the past is wiped out, with the past wiped out, ill is no more, with ill no more, painful feelings are no more, with painful feelings no more, all ill is outworn (exhausted or negated) '[2] Here penance (tapa) means the practice of austerities (dukkaracariyā)

Mahāvīra is correctly represented as an exponent of the doctrine of action (kiriyāvādo)[3] This doc-

[1] Majjhima, I, pp 92-93, *ibid*, II, p 31, Anguttara, I, p 220, *ibid*, IV, p 428.

[2] Anguttara, I, pp 220-221, Majjhima, II, p 214

[3] Anguttara, IV, pp 180-181

trine invests man with moral responsibility for all his deeds. One of the texts refers to limited knowledge (antavanta jñāna) as propounded by Mahāvīra 'The knowledge which comprehends the limited world is itself limited in its character '[1] The Pāli *antavanta jñāna* is evidently the same term as the Jaina *avadhijñāna*.

The Nirgrantha argument of the practice of penance or austerity is clearly set forth 'Beatitude cannot be reached through mundane happiness It is attainable through the mortification of the flesh Had it been possible to reach beatitude through mundane happiness, king Srenika Bimbisāra of Magadha would certainly have attained it '[2] We are told that according to Mahāvīra, the practice of penance involved three kinds of *danda* or self-inflicted punishment bodily, vocal, and mental, the first kind far outweighing the last two in gravity and importance[3] A concrete example of the penance practised by the Nirgran-

[1] Anguttara, IV, p 428 *antavantena ñānena antavantam lokam jānam passam*

[2] Majjhima, I, p 93 *Na sukhena sukham adhigantabbam dukkhena kho sukham adhigantabbam sukhena ca sukham adhigantabbam abhavissa, rājā Māgadho Seniyo Bimbisāro sukham adhigaccheyya*

[3] Majjhima, I, p 372

thas is mentioned. The Nirgranthas were once seen engaged in remaining in an upright posture without sitting down (ubbhatthakā āsanapaṭikkhittā)[1]

The ancient Buddhist texts offer a typical description of the punctilious ways of all Indian ascetics of the time which, as convincingly shown by Mr Kamta Prasad Jain,[2] were also the approved ways of the Jaina recluses who must have sought to imitate the life of the Master The correspondence may be shown in a tabular form as follows

	Buddhist Description		*Jaina Description.*
1	He goes naked	1	This is styled as the 'highest state' of a Jaina recluse (Jaina-Sūtras, I, p 56)
2	He is of loose habits (performing his bodily functions and eating in a standing posture, not crouching down as well-bred people do)	2	The 24th (non-bathing), 26th (non-brushing), 27th (taking meal in a standing posture) *mūla-gunas* of a Jaina muni (Mūlācāra, 31–33)

[1] Majjhima, I, p 92

[2] I H Q, Vol II, pp 698 foll The Jaina references in the Buddhist Literature

	Buddhist Description.		*Jaina Description.*
3	He licks his hands clean, etc (after eating, and not washing them as well-bred people do)	3.	A Jaina muni takes food in the hollows of his hand, etc. (Jaina-Sūtras, I, p 57)
4	When on his rounds for alms if politely requested to step aside, he passes stolidly on	4.	Described in full in the commentary on esanā-samiti in the Mūlācāṛa.
5	He refuses to accept food brought (to him before he has started on his daily round of alms)	5	The Jaina recluse is allowed to take only pure food void of 46 defilements.
6	He refuses food (if told it has been specially prepared for him)	6	This refers to the prohibited Auddesika food
7	He refuses to accept any invitation, etc.	7.	This is precisely the case with the Jaina recluses
8.	He will not accept (food taken) from the mouth of the pot or pan, etc	8	This refers to the *sthāpita* or *nyasta doṣa*
9-10	He will not accept food within the threshold, etc He will not accept food placed within the sticks, etc	9-10	These refer to the *prāduskara doṣa.*
11.	He will not accept food placed within the pestle, etc	11	This refers to the *unmiśra-āsana dosa.*

	Buddhist Description		*Jaina Description.*
12	When two persons are eating together he will not accept food if offered to him by only one of the two.	12.	This refers to the *anis-vara-vyaktāvyakta ani-śārtha doṣa*
13-14	He will not accept food from a woman suckling baby, etc He will not accept food from a woman talking with, etc	13-14	These refer to the dāyaka-āśana dosas
15	He will not accept food collected in draught	15	This refers to the *abhi-ghāta-udgama dosa*
16	He will not accept food where a dog is standing	16	This refers to the *daśaka dosa*
17.	He will not accept food where flies are swarming by	17	This refers to the *prāni-jantu-vadha dosa*
18	He will not accept fish, nor meat, nor strong drink, nor intoxicants, etc	18	This needs no corroboration
19	He is a 'one-houser', etc	19	This corresponds with the *vratapratisan-khyāta* practice.
20	He takes food only once a day or once every two days, etc	20	This corresponds with the *sākānksanāksana-vrata*

The Nirgranthas are represented as a class of recluses (samanajātikā) who used to drink hot water, rejecting cold water[1] There is no sound reason to suggest with the author of the Milinda that they did not drink cold water because they believed there were 'souls' in it[2] They are credited with the scrupulous practice of a 'fourfold self-restraint' (cātuyāma-saṁvara), according to which they used to live 'restrained as regards all water', 'restrained as regards all evil', 'washing away all evil', and 'suffused with the sense of evil held at bay'. It is for this reason that they were called *gatatta* (whose heart has gone to the summit, to the attainment of their aim), and *yatatta* (whose heart is subdued) *Cātuyāmasamvara* was, no doubt, a phraseology of the religion of Pārśva But, as presented in Buddhist literature, it acquired altogether a new connotation with the followers of Mahāvīra. Some are inclined to think that by the 'fourfold self-restraint' the Buddhist author has simply expressed the four characteristics of a Jaina recluse (1) that he should be free from passion

[1] Majjhima, I, p 376 *Nigantho sītodaka-patikkhitto unhodaka-patissevī*

[2] The Questions of King Milinda, S B E, XXXV, pp 85–91

and desire ; (2) that he should keep himself aloof from all kinds of traffic ; (3) that he should get rid of all *parigrahas* (ideas of possession) , and (4) that he should remain absorbed in knowledge and meditation of self [1]

A correct representation of the 'fourfold self-restraint, even in the sense in which the followers of Pārśva understood it, is not wanting in Buddhist literature Just a fifth point, chastity, has to be added to the 'fourfold self-restraint' to complete the list of five great vows (pañca mahāvratas) promulgated by Mahāvīra The definite path prescribed by Mahāvīra for the realization of the world of absolute happiness consisted in the abstinence from the idea of killing, the idea of theft, the idea of unchastity, the idea of lying, and some such *tapoguna* or virtue of an ascetic [2] It is very interesting indeed to note that even some of the Jaina phrases are reproduced in the Buddhist text [3]

The Anguttara-Nikāya speaks of the Nirgranthas as a distinct Order of recluses who on the sabbath exhorted their lay disciples thus 'Lay aside the

---

[1] I H Q , Vol II, p 705

[2] Majjhima, II, pp 35-36

[3] *Ibid* , II, p 36 , *sake ācariyake samanuyuñjiyamānā samanuggāhiyamānā samanubhāsiyamānā*

stick as regards all creatures that exist eastwards beyond a hundred *yojanas* ; likewise westwards, northwards, and to the south ' ; ' Off with all your clothes and say—I have no part in anything anywhere, and herein for me there is no attachment to anything '[1] Thus they exhorted them to kindness, and the idea of *apalibodha* or aparigraha (non-handicap, no possession) was made clear to them

The same Nikāya records an instance where the Nirgranthas became deeply concerned and disconsolate to brook the idea that a recluse would eat meat knowing for certain that it was specially prepared for him by killing an animal (undissakatam mamsam)[2]

The evidence of Buddhist literature is sufficient to establish the contemporaneity of Mahāvīra and the Buddha Although they had not personally met each other, there were occasions when they felt interested in knowing and discussing each other's views and position through some intermediaries. Dīrghatapasvī and Satyaka (Pāli Saccaka) among the Nirgrantha recluses, and Abhaya the Prince, Upāli the Banker, and Simha

---

[1] Gradual Sayings, I, p 186.

[2] Anguttara, IV, p 187.

the Licchavi General among the Jaina laity loom large among those intermediaries Both Mahāvīra and the Buddha claimed to be scions of two ruling clans of Northern India, and both of them declared themselves as *kriyāvādins* or upholders of a doctrine of action Mahāvīra was senior in age to the Buddha , the former predeceased the latter by a few years The synchronism between them is proved by the coincidence of certain historical facts When they had started their career as religious teachers and reformers, Srenika Bimbisāra was the powerful king of Magadha, and Anga became annexed to the kingdom of Magadha , the Vrji-Licchavis of Vaiśālī and the Mallas of Kusīnārā and Pāvā formed two powerful confederacies ; Prasenajit was the monarch of Kośala and Kāśī became annexed to the kingdom of Kośala It is significant that the immediate Nirgrantha disciples of Mahāvīra argued a point of his doctrine thus ' Had it been possible to obtain bliss through worldly happiness, king Srenika Bimbisāra of Magadha would certainly have obtained it '

The Majjhima-Nikāya immortalizes the name of the Black Stone on a ridge of the Rsigiri, where the Nirgranthas practised a difficult penance, while a Jaina Image inscription records the name of Mount Vipula and king Sreṇika. The same

Nikāya refers to an occasion when Mahāvīra was staying at Nālandā with a large body of Nirgranthas. It also refers to an occasion when the Jaina Tīrthaṅkara remained seated with a large train of lay worshippers headed by Upāli the Banker, all hailing from a village called Bālaka. The same authority attests that women found admittance into the Nirgrantha Order along with men The Visākhāvatthu in the Dhammapada-Commentary distinctly represents Mṛgāra the Banker of Śrāvastī, father-in-law of the Buddhist lady Viśākhā, as a staunch lay supporter of Mahāvīra

It is not without reason that Mahāvīra has been represented in the Abhayarājakumāra-Sutta as personally interested in the welfare of Devadatta who fomented a schism within the Buddhist Order of the time [1] As Dr. Barua suggests, Devadatta was a man with Jaina leaning It is probably under the influence of Mahāvīra's teaching that Devadatta insisted on having the following five special rules introduced in the Buddhist Order and enforced

'1 That a bhikkhu shall live all his life in the forest.

[1] Majjhima, I, pp 392-393.

2. That he shall depend for his subsistence solely on doles collected outdoors ;
3. That he shall wear garments made by stitching together rags picked up from dust-heaps ;
4 That he shall always live under a tree and not under a roof , and
5 That he shall never eat fish or meat ' [1]

Such are the main outlines of the life and teachings of Mahāvīra and of the early activities of the Nirgranthas which may be drawn with the aid of Buddhist literature Now let us see how far the narrative may be developed with the facts supplied in the canonical literature of the Jains themselves

In the Jaina literature Mahāvīra, the last Tīrthankara of the Jains, is described as a supreme personality, who was acknowledged as ' great Brāhmaṇa ', ' a great guardian ', ' a great guide ', ' a great preacher ', ' a great pilot ', and ' a great recluse ' [2] He figures as a supremely gifted

[1] Barua, 'The Old Brāhmī Inscription of Mahāsthān', I H Q , Vol X, p 61 , Vinaya Cullavagga, VIII, 1 ; Oldenberg, Buddha, pp 160-161 , N Dutt, Early History of the Spread of Buddhism, p. 222.

[2] Uvāsaga-dasāo, Lec VII.

Kṣatriya teacher and leader of thought who gathered unto him many men and women, and was honoured and worshipped by many hundreds and thousands of *śrāvakas* or lay disciples He belonged to the Kāśyapa gotra and shone forth as the moon of the clan of the Nāyas or Jñātrs. He was the son of Ksatriya Siddhārtha who is otherwise named Śreyāṁsa and Yasaṁsa and of Ksatriyānī Triśalā, also known as Videhadattā and Priyakāriṇī of the Vasistha gotra. His mother was a sister to Ceṭaka of Videha, at whose call all the Licchavis and Mallas rallied together for the purpose of offence and defence.

His parents who belonged to the Jñātṛ Ksatriyas were lay worshippers of Pārśva. They were pious, chaste in life, and virtuous, and cherished a very tender regard for all the six classes of living beings (*cha jīva-nikāyā*) Following the teaching of Pārśva, they peacefully died by the practice of slow starvation of the senses.

He was born in the town of Kuṇḍanagara, a suburb of Vaiśālī and an important seat of the Jñātṛkas He was therefore called Vesālie (Vaiśālika),—a citizen of Vaiśālī.[1] On the day of his birth the prisoners in Kuṇḍanagara were released.

---

[1] Sūtrakṛitānga, I 2 3 22

Festivals kept the whole town bound in mirth and joy for ten days after which many offerings were made to the gods. But he was also known as a Videha,—the son of Videhadattā. His parents fixed his name as Varddhamāna or 'Prosperous One', because with his birth the wealth, fame, and merit of the family increased. His aversion to love and hatred earned for him the appellation of Śramana or Recluse. The gods gave him the name of Mahāvīra for his fortitude and hardihood in patiently bearing all sorts of privation and hardship, for his strictly adhering to the rules of penance, and no less for his indifference to pleasure and pain. He was equally known as Jñātṛputra or 'Scion of the Jñātṛ clan', Sāsananāyaka or 'Head of the Order', and Buddha. The Jñātrkas are described as a people who were afraid of sin, who abstained from wicked deeds, did not cause harm to any living being, and did not partake of meat.

Mahāvīra was called Nirgrantha because he was outwardly unclothed and inwardly free from all worldly bonds and ties And it is not surprising at all that he should be described in Buddhist literature as 'the head of an Order, of a following, the teacher of a school, well-known and of repute as a sophist, revered by the

people, a man of experience who has long been a recluse '.[1]

In his thirteenth year, Mahāvīra married Yasodā, a Kṣatriya lady who belonged to the Kauṇḍinya gotra, and had by her a daughter, named Anojjā (Anavadyā) or Priyadarsanā. Anojjā was married to Yamalī, a Ksatriya ' who after becoming one of Mahāvīra's followers and fellow-workers ended by opposing him '.

In his thirteenth year, he lost his parents. Afterwards with the permission of his elder brother and the authorities of the kingdom, he fulfilled his promise of going out to establish a universal religion of love and amity. After twelve years of penance and meditation he attained omniscience at the age of forty-two, and lived thereafter for thirty years to preach his religion in Northern India. The famous Ohāna-Sutta in the Āyāramga contains the following account of his soul-stirring *sādhanā*

For a year and a month since he renounced the world, Mahāvīra did not leave off his robe There-after he gave up his robe and became unclothed. Even while he used robe, he used it only in winter.

---

[1] *Samghī c'eva ganī ca gaṇācariyo ca ñāto yasassī titthakaro sādhusammato bahujanassa rattaññū cirapabbajito.*

More than four months many living beings gathered on his body, crawled about it, and caused pain. Then he meditated, walking with his eye fixed on a square space before him of the length of a man. Many people who were shocked at the sight struck him and cried. He shunned the company of the female sex and of all householders. Asked, he gave no reply. He did not even answer those who saluted him. He was beaten with sticks and struck by sinful people. He wandered about disregarding all slights, not being attracted by any worldly amusement.

For more than a couple of years he went without using cold water. He realized singleness, guarded his body, obtained intuition and became calm. He carefully avoided doing injury to the meanest form of life. He did not use what was expressly prepared for him He used to eat only clean food. He did not use another man's robe, nor did he eat out of another man's vessel He observed moderation in eating and drinking. He neither rubbed his eyes nor scratched his body.

He sometimes took shelter in workshops, sometimes in manufactories, sometimes in garden houses, sometimes in a cemetery, in deserted houses, or at the foot of a tree. In such places he sought for shelter for thirteen years.

He meditated day and night, undisturbed, unperturbed, exerting himself strenuously. He never cared for sleep for the sake of pleasure. He waked up himself and slept only a little, free from cares and desires. Waking up again, he lay down exerting himself, going outside for once in a night, he walked about for an hour.

In his resting places, crawling or flying animals attacked him. Bad people, the guard of the village, or lance-bearers attacked him. Well-controlled, he bore all dreadful calamities and different kinds of feelings, and he wandered about, speaking but little Ill treated, he engaged himself in his meditations, free from resentment. He endured all hardships in calmness. Well-guarded, he bore the pains caused by grass, cold, heat, flies and gnats.

He travelled in the pathless country of *Rāḍha*,—in *Vajrabhūmi* and *Svabhrabhūmi*, where he used miserable beds and seats The rude natives of the place attacked him and set dogs to bite him But he never used the stick to keep off the dogs. He endured the abusive language of the rustics, being perfectly enlightened. The inhabitants of the place caused him all sorts of torture, and disturbed him in his religious postures. Abandoning the care of his body, he bore pain, free from desire.

He abstained from indulgence of the flesh, though never attacked by diseases Whether wounded or not, he did not desire medical treatment In the cold season he meditated in the shade. In summer he exposed himself to the heat. He lived on rough food rice, pounded jujube, and jujube Using these three kinds of food, he sustained himself eight months. Sometimes he did not drink for half a month or even for a month Sometimes he did not drink for more than two months, or even six months Sometimes he ate only the sixth meal, or the eighth, the tenth, the twelfth Sometimes he ate stale food He committed no sin himself, nor did he induce others to do so, nor did he consent to the sin of others.

He meditated persevering in some posture, without the smallest motion He meditated in mental concentration on the things above, below, beside He meditated free from sin and desire, not attached to sounds and colours, and never acted carelessly.

Thus, as hero at the head of a battle, he bore all hardships, and remaining undisturbed, proceeded on the road to deliverance Understanding the truth and restraining the impulses for the purification of the soul, he finally liberated [1]

[1] Ācārānga-Sūtra, I, 8 9, Jaina-Sūtras, Part I, pp 79–87

Mahāvīra renounced the world at the age of thirty It would seem that he joined the Order of Pārśva of which his parents were lay supporters. In the Ācārānga, the members of this Order are simply referred to as Śramaṇas or Recluses. It would further seem that the recluses of Pārśva's Order used to wear robes. Mahāvīra remained with them for more than a year, after which he turned a naked ascetic

The Bhagavatī-Sūtra says that when Mahāvīra was spending the second year of his ascetic life in a weaver's shed in Nālandā, a suburb of Rājagrha, Maskariputra Gośāla who was then wandering about in the country showing pictures to the people at large happened to arrive and put up there One day, observing the extraordinary respect shown to Mahāvīra by Vijaya, a rich householder of Rājagrha, Gośāla approached the venerable ascetic and asked to be admitted as his disciple, but the latter declined his request. His prayer was not granted on two successive occasions, even though the Master was entreated by the rich householders Ānanda and Sudarśana In the meanwhile Mahāvīra went to the settlement of Kollāga, at some distance from Nālandā, where he was hospitably greeted by the Brahmin Bahula.

Gośāla sought him in vain in the city of Rājagṛha and its suburbs. He returned to the weaver's shed where he gave away his clothes, vessels, shoes, and pictures to a Brahmin of the place, shaved off his hair and beard, and in despair departed in search of the Master. On his way he passed Kollāga, where he met a large crowd applauding Bahula's liberality towards Mahāvīra. He continued his search and met the Master at last in Paṇiyabhūmi, where again he begged to be received as a disciple. This time his prayer was granted, and both the Master and the disciple lived together for six years in Paniyabhūmi, practising asceticism. Thereafter they travelled from Paṇiyabhūmi to Kūrmagrāma, and from Kūrmagrāma to Siddhārthagrāma. While at Kūrmagrāma, they met ascetic Vesayana who remained seated with upraised arms and upturned face in the glare of the sun, while his body was swarming with lice. Gośāla enquired whether he was a sage or a bed of lice. Vesayana, being angry, attempted to strike Gośāla with his supernormal powers. Mahāvīra explained to him the severe ascetic discipline by which such powers could be obtained.

While at Siddhārthagrāma, Gośāla uprooted a sesamum shrub and threw it away. The shrub,

owing to a lucky fall of rain, came to life again. From this he jumped to the conclusion that all plants were capable of reanimation, nay, he drew the further conclusion that 'not only plants, but in fact all living beings were capable of reanimation'. Such generalization of the theory of reanimation apparently not finding favour with Mahāvīra, Gośāla thenceforward severed his connection with him and followed a course of asceticism for six months, which enabled him to acquire supernormal powers. He then proclaimed himself a Jina, and became the head of a sect, called the Ājīvika.[1]

Gośāla figures in the early tradition of Buddhism as an independent leader of thought, who too was highly revered by the people of Northern India as 'the head of an Order, of a following, the teacher of a school, well-known and of repute as a sophist . . . a man of experience who has long been a recluse' There is no suggestion ever made as to his personal relation with Mahāvīra But there is sufficient reason to believe that the Nirgranthas and the Ājīvikas were two allied sects, having many points in common between them. In the immediate background of the religions of both

[1] Uvāsaga-dasāo, Tr by Hoernle, App. I.

the teachers, were the teachings of Pārśva. The Eight Mahānimittas of the Ājīvika Canon were extracts made from the Ten Pūrvas representing the literary authority of the sect of Pārśva.[1] Pārśva was honoured by both the sects as the last but one Tīrthaṅkara, while they were sharply divided in their claim for the position of the last Tīrthankara. The Nirgranthas, of course, legitimately claimed that Mahāvīra was the rightful successor of Pārśva in the tradition of the Tīrthankaras

It is remarkable that the Ācārānga account of Mahāvīra's *sādhanā* does not bring in Gośāla to form an episode in it The same holds true of the account in the Kalpa-Sūtra which agrees substantially with that in the Ācārānga. Further, the names of the places where Mahāvīra is said to have spent the rainy seasons during the first twelve years of his asceticism differ from those mentioned in the Bhagavatī-Sūtra The Kalpa-Sūtra offers the following account of Mahāvīra's earnest efforts prior to his Kevaliship

When the moon was in conjunction with the asterism *Uttaraphālgunī*, Mahāvīra, after fasting two days and a half without drinking water and

---

[1] Barua, The Ājīvikas, pp 28, 41 foll.

putting on a divine robe, left the house and passed into the state of houselessness. For more than a year he wore clothes. Thereafter he walked about naked, and accepted alms. For more than twelve years he neglected his body and took no care of it. With equanimity he bore, underwent, and suffered all pleasant or unpleasant occurrences He stayed the first rainy season in Asthigrāma, three rainy seasons in Campā and Prstıcampā, and eight in Vaiśālī and Vāṇıjagrāma

He remained cırcumspect ın his walkıng, speakıng, begging, acceptıng anythıng, carryıng his drınkıng vessel, and obeying the calls of nature. He remained circumspect in hıs thoughts, words, and acts. He guarded hıs thoughts, words, acts, senses, and chastıty. He moved without wrath, pride, deceıt, and greed He remaıned calm, tranquıl, composed, liberated, free from temptations, wıthout egoısm and wıthout property. In short, he had cut off all earthly tıes, and was not staıned by any worldliness Like water in a vessel, he was unattached in the mıdst of sins His course was unobstructed like that of life. Like the firmament he wanted no support Like the wind he knew no obstacles Hıs heart was pure like the water in autumn He remained unsoiled lıke a lotus-leaf. Hıs senses were well

protected like those of a tortoise. Like a rhinoceros he lived single and alone. He was free like a bird, always walking like the fabulous bird Bhāruṇda, valorous like an elephant, strong like a bull, difficult to attack like a lion, steady and firm like Mt. Mandara, deep like the ocean, mild like the moon, effulgent like the sun, pure like gold, patient like the earth, and shining in splendour like a well-kindled fire

He lived, except in the rainy season, all the eight months of summer and winter, in villages only a single night and in towns only five nights He was indifferent alike to the smell of ordure and the sweet scent of sandal, to straw and jewel, dirt and gold, pleasure and pain, this world or the world beyond, to life and death He exerted himself for the stoppage of the defilement of *karma*

With supreme knowledge and faith and conduct he meditated on himself for twelve years. During the thirteenth year, in the second month of summer, in the fourth fortnight, the light fortnight of the month of Vaiśākha, on its tenth day, outside the town Jrmbhikagrāma on the bank of the river Rjupālī, not far from an old shrine, in the field of the householder Śyāmāka under a *sal* tree and the asterism Uttaraphālgunī, he reached the

highest knowledge and intuition, called Kevala, which is infinite, supreme, unobstructed, unimpeded, complete, and full. He was then lost in deep meditation in a squatting position with joined heels, exposing himself to the heat of the sun, after fasting two days and a half even without drinking water

Thus at the age of forty-two he had become a Jina and Arhat,—a Kevalin, omniscient, all-seeing, and all-knowing. He knew and saw all conditions of the world of the gods, men, and demons whence they come, whither they go, where they are born as men or animals, gods or infernal beings, according to their deeds [1]

During the thirty years of his career as Teacher, he spent four rainy seasons in Vaiśālī and Vāṇija-grāma, fourteen in Rājagrha and Nālandā, six in Mithilā, two in Bhadrikā, one in Ālabhikā, one in Pranitabhūmi, one in Śrāvastī, and one in the town of Pāvā which was his last rainy season. In the fourth month of that rainy season, in the seventh fortnight, in the dark fortnight of Kārttika, on its fifteenth day, in the last watch of the night in the town of Pāvā, in king Hastipāla's office of the writers, the venerable Ascetic died, went off,

[1] Jaina-Sūtras, Part I, pp 260–264

quitted the world, cutting asunder the ties of birth, decay, and death.[1]

The Kalpa-Sūtra list is so worded as to suggest an idea of succession as regards the places where Mahāvīra spent forty-two rainy seasons since he had renounced the life of a householder. The idea of succession is suggested by the two expressions: 'first rainy season in Asthigrāma' and 'last rainy season in Pāpā or Pāvā'. Taken in order, the places stand in the list as follows —

1. Asthigrāma—first rainy season.
2. Campā and Pṛṣṭicampā—next three rainy seasons
3. Vaiśālī and Vāṇijagrāma—next twelve rainy seasons
4. Rājagṛha and Nālandā—next fourteen rainy seasons.
5. Mithilā—next six rainy seasons.
6. Bhadrikā—next two rainy seasons.
7. Ālabhikā—next one rainy season
8. Paṇitabhūmi—next one rainy season
9. Śrāvastī—next one rainy season.
10. Pāpā—last rainy season.

According to the commentary on the Kalpa-Sūtra, Asthigrāma was formerly called Vardha-

---

[1] Jaina-Sūtras, Part I, p 264

māna. It would perhaps be more correct to say that Asthıgrāma was the earlier name of Vardhamāna (modern Burdwan) But none need be surprised if Asthigrāma was the same place as Hatthıgāma (Hastigrāma) which lay on the high road from Vaıśālī to Pāvā [1]

Campā was the capıtal of Anga, which, after many vicıssıtudes of fortune in its war wıth Magadha, was conquered in Mahāvīra's time by Śrenika Bımbısāra and permanently annexed to Magadha Anga of the Sanskrit Epıcs comprised modern dıstrıct of Bhagalpur and Monghyr and extended northwards up to the rıver Kosı Its capıtal Campā was situated on the river of the same name and the Ganges, at a dıstance of 60 yojanas. Its actual site ıs probably marked by two villages of Campānagara and Campāpura near Bhagalpur.

Prsṭıcampā must have been a place not far from Campā One of the Pāli Jātakas mentions a town, known by the name of Kāla-Campā and sıtuated ın the kıngdom of Anga.

Vaıśālī (modern Besarh in the Muzaffarpur Dıstrıct of Bıhar) was the chief seat of government

[1] Most probably Pāvā ıs the same as Kasıa sıtuated on the lıttle Gandak river to the east of the dıstrıct of Gorakhpur It ıs consıdered as one of the sacred places of the Jaıns. Its ancıent name was Pāpā or Appāpurī.

of the Vrji-Licchavis in Mahāvīra's time,[1] and Vāṇijagrāma was a centre of trade in the suburb of Vaiśālī.[2]

Rājagrha (modern Rājgīr) was the capital of Magadha in Mahāvīra's time It was guarded by five hills, called Isigili, Vebhāra, Pāṇḍava, Vepulla, and Gijjhakūta The Mahābhārata gives the names of the five hills as Vipula, Vaibhāra, Varāha, Vrsabha, and Rsi [3]

Nālandā, which is described in the Kalpa-Sūtra as a suburb (bāhirika) of Rājagrha, was situated on the high road from Rājagṛha to Vaiśālī, at a distance of one yojana from Rājagrha. It is identified with modern Bargaon, 7 miles to the north-west of Rājgīr in the district of Patna [4]

Mithilā, which was the capital of once prosperous kingdom of Videha, stood as the chief seat of government of the Videhas It is identified by tradition with modern Janakapura, a small town within the Nepal border, north of which the Muzaffarpur and Darbhanga districts meet [5]

---

[1] Law, Geography of Early Buddhism, p 12

[2] Law, Some Ksatriya Tribes of Ancient India, p 38.

[3] Mahābhārata, Sabhāparva, Chap 21, Ślokas 1–3

[4] Law, Geography of Early Buddhism, p 31.

[5] C A G I, p 718, Law, Some Ksatriya Tribes of Ancient India, p 134

Videha may be identified with Tirabhukti (modern Tirhut) [1]

Bhadrika, which is the same name as the Pālı Bhaddiya, was an important place in the kingdom of Anga [2]

Ālabhıka, which is the same name as the Pāli Ālavī, is identıfied by Cunningham and Hoernle with Newal or Nawal ın Unao District in U.P, and by Nandalal Dey with Aviwa, 27 miles north-east of Etwah [3]

Panıtabhūmı, which is the same name as the Ardha-Māgadhi Panıyabhūmi, was a place in Vajrabhūmi, a dıvısıon of the pathless country of Rādha [4]

Śrāvastī, which is correctly identified with Saheth-Maheth on the scuth bank of the river Rāpti, was the flourishing capital of the kingdom of Kośala in Mahāvīra's time It was situated on the hıgh road from Vaiśālī, Pāvā, and Kapilavastu to Kauśāmbī, Ujjayinī, and Pratisthāna [5]

Pāpā, whıch is the same name as the Pāli Pāvā, was one of the chıef seats of government of the

---

[1] Law, Geography of Early Buddhısm, p 30.

[2] Dhammapada Commentary, I, p 384.

[3] Law, Geography, p. 24

[4] Jaına-Sūtras, Part I, p 264, f.n 4, also p 84.

[5] Law, Geography, p 5, f n. 2.

Mallas. It was in Mahāvīra's time one of the halting stations on the high road from Vaiśālī to Kuśīnārā and Kapilavastu [1]

What Mahāvīra did after having attained the position of a Kevalin, where did he go, to whom did he proclaim his religious experience, and by whom was he first accepted as the Jina, are the interesting points that still remain unknown to us The Bhagavatī-Sūtra would have us believe that extraordinary respect was shown by certain rich householders even long prior to his Jinahood, while the Ācārānga and the Kalpa-Sūtra narrate the account of his *sādhanā* in such a manner as to suggest that he had to make superhuman efforts before he could aspire to obtain the coveted position of a Kevalin. According to the Kalpa-Sūtra account, after leaving his home and passing into the houseless state of a recluse, he stayed with the followers of Pārśva for one year and a month at Asthigrāma After that time, he went to Campā and Pṛṣṭicampā, and thereafter he returned to Vaiśālī and Vāṇijagrāma, near which he attained Jinahood in the thirteenth year of his career as an ascetic. The Ācārānga says that, during this period, he travelled once in the country of Rāḍha,

[1] Law, Geography, p 15

where he was rudely treated by its inhabitants. The Bhagavatī-Sūtra associates Nālandā, Rājagrha, Paṇiyabhūmi, Siddhārthagrāma, and Kūrmagrāma with his early wanderings There is reason to believe that these places were visited by him after his attainment of Jinahood.

The Uvāsaga-dasāo mentions Vānijagrāma, Campā, Bārānasī, Ālabhi (Pālı Ālavi), Kampilyapura, Polāsapura, Rājagṛha, and Śrāvastī as the places that were visıted by the Venerable Ascetic Mahāvīra The town of Campā had near it the shrıne of Pūrnabhadra, Vānijagrāma, the shrine called Dvıpalāsa, Bārānasī, the Koṣṭhaka shrine, Ālabhī, the garden called Sankhavana, Kampilyapura, the garden called Sahaśrāmravana, Polāsapura, a garden known by the name of Sahaśrāmravana, Rājagrha, the shrıne called Gunasila; and Śrāvastī, the Kosthaka shrıne

In Vānijagrāma, the great lay dıscıples of Mahāvīra and lay supporters of hıs Order were Ānanda and his wıfe Sıvanandā, ın Campā, Kāmadeva and his wıfe Bhadrā, in Bārāṇasī, Cūḷanipriya and hıs wıfe Śyāmā, Sūradeva and his wıfe Dhanyā, ın Ālabhı, Cullasataka and his wıfe Bahulā, ın Kampılyapura, Kundakolita and hıs wife Pusyā; in Polāsapura, Sardalaputra and his wıfe Agnimitrā, ın Rājagrha, Mahasataka,

and in Śravastī, Nandınıpriya and his wıfe Aśvinī, Salatipriya and his wıfe Phālgunī.

These lay disciples of the Venerable Ascetic and lay supporters of the recluses of his Order, both male and female, are all mentioned as persons of opulence and influence, and as those noted for their piety and devotion The typical description of each of them, as given in the Uvāsaga-dasāo, may enable the reader to form an idea of his worldly prosperity Ānanda of Vānıjagrāma, for instance, is described as a householder who 'possessed a treasure of four kror measures of gold deposited in a safe place, a capital of four kror measures of gold put out on interest, a well-stocked estate of the value of four kror measures of gold, and four herds, each herd consisting of ten thousand heads of cattle' He 'was a person whom many kings and princes and merchants made it a point to refer to, and to consult, on many affairs and matters needing advice, . in short, on all sorts of business. He was also the main pillar, as it were, of his own family, their authority, support, mainstay, and guide In short, he was a cause of prosperity to whatever business he was concerned with'. Each of them had a Posaha-house (hall for practice of upavasatha or religious observances) Ānanda, for instance, had

his Posaha-house in the Kollāga suburb of Vāṇija-grāma, in the midst of his people of the Nāta or Jñātr clan [1]

This is no exaggeration. The Pāli Upāli-Sutta [2] introduces to us the rich householder Upāli of Balakagrāma, near Nālandā, who was a lay disciple of Mahāvīra and a liberal supporter of the recluses of his Order, both male and female. We are indeed told that a very large number of inhabitants of Balakagrāma, headed by Upāli, became lay disciples of Mahāvīra. The Banker Mrgāra or Mrgadhara of Śrāvastī, father-in-law of the Buddhist lady Visākhā, is mentioned as a lay disciple of Mahāvīra and a lay supporter of the Nirgrantha recluses Besides Upāli and Mrgāra, the Buddhist texts mention, as we noted, Prince Abhaya, son of king Srenika Bimbisāra of Magadha, and Simha, a Licchavi general, among the lay disciples of the Jaina Tīrthankara

The Jaina Bhagavatī-Sūtra speaks of two other rich householders among the lay disciples of Mahāvīra, namely, Vijaya and Sudarsana, of whom the former was a citizen of Rājagṛha [3]

---

[1] Uvāsaga-dasāo, Lec I, Hoernle's Translation

[2] Majjhima-Nikāya, I, 371–387, cf Sutrakrtānga, Jaina-Sūtras, II, pp 414–417

[3] Uvāsaga-dasāo, Hoernle's Translation, App. pp 1-2

The Ugras and Bhogas are 'repeatedly mentioned in several of the professedly oldest sacred books as being among the most prominent of the earliest converts'[1]

In so old a Buddhist text as the Majjhima-Nikāya, we have mention of a great many (sambahula) Nirgrantha recluses who, following the instruction and example of the Tīrthankara, practised a rigorous form of penance on a ridge of Mt Rsigiri, near Rājagrha[2] The same work introduces to us two Nirgrantha recluses, Dīrghatapasvī[3] and Satyaka of Agniveśyāyana gotra[4] and to one Wanderer (parivrājaka) who was much influenced by the teaching and personality of Mahāvīra[5] The Buddha is said to have met Dīrghatapasvī at Nālandā and Satyaka at Vaiśālī in the land of the Vrji-Licchavis.

According to the Kalpa-Sūtra, Mahāvīra in his lifetime had an excellent community of fourteen thousand recluses with Indrabhūti at their head, thirty-six thousand female recluses with Candanā at their head, one hundred and fifty-

[1] Uvāsaga-dasāo, Introduction, p xiv
[2] Majjhima, I, p 92
[3] *Ibid*, I, p 371
[4] *Ibid*, I, p 237
[5] *Ibid*, II, pp 1, 29

nine thousand lay disciples with Śankhaśataka at their head, and three hundred and eighteen thousand female lay disciples with Sulasā and Revatī at their head There were ' three hundred sages who knew the fourteen Pūrvas, who though no Jinas came very near them, , thirteen hundred sages who were possessed of the Avadhi-knowledge and superior qualities , seven hundred Kevalins . . , seven hundred who could transform themselves , five hundred sages of mighty intellect , four hundred professors who were never vanquished in disputes , seven hundred male and fourteen hundred female disciples who reached perfection . , and eight hundred sages in their last birth ' [1]

Among the immediate followers of Mahāvīra, eleven became distinguished as *ganadharas* guiding and instructing nine separate groups of Nirgrantha recluses, placed under them The eldest monk Indrabhūti, the middle-aged monk Agnibhūti, and the youngest monk Vāyubhūti, all of the Gautama gotra, instructed 500 recluses each. The Venerable Ārya Vyakta of the Bhāradvāja gotra and the Venerable Ārya Sudharman of the Agnivesyāyana gotra instructed 500 recluses each

[1] Kalpa-Sūtra, 134 , Jaina-Sūtras, Part I, pp 265-266

The Venerable Mandikaputra of the Vaśistha gotra and the Venerable Mauryaputra of the Kāśyapa gotra instructed 250 recluses each. The Venerable Akampita of the Gautama gotra and the Venerable Acalabhrātr of the Hāritāyana gotra instructed together 300 recluses each Similarly the Venerable Metārya and Prabhāsa, both of the Kaundinya gotra, instructed together 300 recluses each All the eleven ganadharas died at Rājagrha after fasting a month without even drinking water Indrabhūti and Ārya Sudharman died after the demise of Mahāvīra. The Nirgrantha recluses trace their spiritual descent from Ārya Sudharman, because the remaining ganadharas left no descendants [1]

What was the form of his first declaration about himself which aroused confidence in so many hundreds and thousands of his followers who had gathered round his personality and impelled them to follow his example in their own life? And what was the special attraction of religious life which was held out to the householders, both men and women, who came to form a large body of lay disciples?

The answer to the first question comes from several Buddhist texts, and the answer to the

---

[1] Jaina-Sūtras, Part I, pp 286-287

second has been given in Lecture I of the Uvāsagadasāo According to the Buddhist texts, the form of his first declaration or address was: 'I am all-knowing and all-seeing, and possessed of an infinite knowledge. Whether, I am walking or standing still, whether I sleep or remain awake, the supreme knowledge and intuition are present with me,—constantly and continuously. There are, O Nirgranthas, some sinful acts you have done in the past, which you must now wear out by this acute form of austerity Now that here you will be living restrained in regard to your acts, speech, and thought, it will work as the non-doing of *karma* for future Thus by the exhaustion of the force of past deeds through penance and the non-accumulation of new acts, (you are assured) of the stoppage of the future course of rebirth, from such stoppage, of the destruction of the effect of *karma*, from that, of the destruction of pain, from that, of the destruction of mental feelings, and from that, of the complete wearing out of all kinds of pain '[1]

The gift of supernormal vision was vouchsafed even to a householder,—to one who resided within his own house but conformed himself to the rule

[1] Majjhima, I, pp 92-93

of religious life as laid down by the great teacher. Gautama Indrabhūti was taken to task by the Master when he sought to claim a difference in degree in this respect between a recluse and a lay disciple[1] The gift of supernormal vision was no monopoly of any Order or caste or sex. In this matter, Mahāvīra made no distinction between men and men, or between men and women He had not enjoined one set of rules for male recluses and another for female, one set of rules for male lay disciples and another for female When he wandered about in the country, he was accompanied by male as well as female recluses

The Jain texts clearly give us to understand that the Master had not only instructed his followers to observe penances and live restrained in all ways but keenly watched how they had been progressing He also encouraged them in the study of the Pūrvas and in developing their power of reasoning and arguing The Buddhist records themselves attest that there were some able and powerful disputants among the Nirgrantha recluses[2] and lay disciples[3]

---

[1] Uvāsaga-dasāo, Lec I

[2] Majjhima-Nikāya, I, p 227

[3] *Ibid*, I, pp 374-375

The Jain tradition of Tīrthaṅkaras was built up by the noble career of certain Kṣatriya teachers In this tradition, the rôle played by Mahāvīra was that of the very last reformer His immediate predecessor was Pārśva or Pārśvanātha who too has been proved to be 'an historical personage'. He 'lived a hundred years, and died 250 years before Mahāvīra'[1] According to the Kalpa-Sūtra, 'the Arhat Pārśva, the people's favourite, lived thirty years as a householder, . . full seventy years as a Śramana, and a hundred years on the whole'[2] And he died on the summit of Mt. Sammeta (modern Paresnath hill in the Hazaribagh District).

As for his popularity and great influence, we are told that he 'had an excellent community of sixteen thousand śramanas , (and) thirty-eight thousand nuns'[3] The religious Order founded by him continued to exist till the time when Mahāvīra saw the light of the day. The followers of Pārśva, referred to as Śramanas in the Jain books, used to wear clothes. The Pūrvas were their sacred books. The catuyāmasamvara or 'fourfold restraint' was regarded as a distinctive feature of

[1] Chimanlal J Shah, Jainism in Northern India, p. 12.
[2] Kalpa-Sūtra, 168.
[3] *Ibid.*, 166

their disciplne The parents of Mahāvīra were lay worshippers of Pārśva The influence of hıs teachings on the life of Mahāvīra's parents is described in the Ācārānga thus 'Mahāvīra's parents were worshıppers of Pārśva and followers of the Śramaṇas Durıng many years they were followers of the Śramanas and for the sake of protectıng the sıx classes of lıves they observed, blamed, repented, confessed, and did penance accordıng to theır sıns On a bed of *kuśa* grass they rejected all food, and their bodies dried up by the last mortıficatıon of the flesh, whıch is to end in death Thus they dıed and, leaving theır bodıes, were born as gods in Adbhuta Kalpa (better, Acyuta Kalpa) '[1]

The exıstence of Pārśva's Order ın Mahāvīra's tıme ıs further proved by the reported disputes between the followers of Pārśva and those of Mahāvīra The Bhagavatī-Sūtra descrıbes one of such dısputes whıch arose between Kālāvesiyaputta, a follower of Pārśva, and a dıscıple of Mahāvīra The dıspute, of course, ended when the former 'changed the law of the four vows for the law of the five vows enjoınıng compulsory confession '[2]

[1] Jaına-Sūtras, I, p 194

[2] Chımanlal J Shah, Jaınısm ın Northern Indıa, p 10.

The Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra records the following conversation between Keśī, a follower of Pārśva, and Gautama, a chief disciple of Mahāvīra

'When the four precepts promulgated by the great sage Pārśva are held equally binding upon our two Orders, what is the cause of difference between us?' Gautama replies. 'Wisdom recognizes the truth of the law and the ascertainment of true things. The first saints were simple but slow of understanding, the last saints prevaricating and slow of understanding, those between the two simple and wise, hence there are two forms of the law The first could but with difficulty understand the precepts of the law, and the last could only with difficulty observe them, but those between them easily understood and observed them'[1]

The historical importance of the dialogue lies not only in the contrast sharply drawn between the two Orders but in the necessity felt for amalgamating them into one Order In the Rāyapaseni, Keśī figures as a disciple of Mahāvīra.

It may be thought thus: 'The religious Order founded by Pārśva enjoyed the reputation of a high and rigid standard of conduct, verging upon

[1] Jaina-Sūtras, II, pp. 122-123.

the stoic or ascetic He made four moral precepts binding upon his followers, precepts which were later enforced by Mahāvīra and Buddha ' [1]

As a reformer of Pārśva's religion, Mahāvīra added a fifth, namely, the vow of chastity to the list of four-vows enjoined by his predecessor Secondly, he restricted the practice of religious suicide by slow starvation only to those among his disciples who followed his personal example

And as a happy result of the amalgamation of the two Orders, the oldest known Jain literature came to consist of the fourteen Pūrvas and the twelve Angas. The Pūrvas, as it appears, formed the scriptural basis of the Upāngas and other books of the Jain Canon Similarly Pārśva's doctrine of six classes of living beings served as the basis of Mahāvīra's doctrine of six *leśyās*

When Pārśva lived Kāśī was probably the most powerful kingdom in Northern India. The Buddhist Jātakas relate stories of repeated wars between Kāśī and Kośala, which ended ultimately in the establishment of the supremacy of the latter kingdom Both the Jaina and Buddhist sacred books point to a time when there arose

---

[1] A History of Pre-Buddhist Indian Philosophy, p 380 , Das Gupta, History of Indian Philosophy, Vol I, p. 169.

four powerful monarchies in Northern India, and the rest of the country was divided into the principalities of several oligarchical or republican clans Magadha, Kośala, Vatsa, and Avantī were the four powerful kingdoms, where reigned Srenika Bimbisāra, Prasenajit, Udayana, and Candapradyot respectively Among the republican clans of Ksatriyas, the two most powerful were the Vrji-Licchavis and the Mallas, who formed two separate confederacies in Mahāvīra's time The Jñātrikas of Kundagrāma and the Videhans of Videha were included in the confederacy of the Vrji-Licchavis with Vaiśālī as their chief seat of government It is among these confederate Ksatriyas that Mahāvīra was born and found strong supporters of his religion

The Uvāsaga-dasāo refers to that early period of Mahāvīra's career as a teacher when there seems to have been an alliance of Kāśī-Kośala, Anga, Ālavī, Videha, Vaiśālī, Pāvā, and Kusīnārā against the growing power of Magadha But, in spite of such an alliance, Anga was conquered by Srenika Bimbisāra and permanently annexed to Magadha. Kunika-Ajātaśatru, son and successor of Srenika Bimbisāra, is represented throughout the Jain literature as the king of Campā, that is, of Anga. The fact perhaps is that Kuṇika-Ajātaśatru was

appointed to govern Aṅga as a viceroy, and while he was the viceroy of Anga, he picked up a quarrel with the Licchavis of Vaiśālī The Pāli scholiast Buddhaghosa says that the first quarrel arose on account of the arbitrary action of the Licchavis in violation of the term of a treaty by which half the mineral products of a mine was to be received by them, and the other half by Ajātaśatru.[1]

The Bhagavatī-Sūtra, however, suggests that some sixteen years before Mahāvīra's demise, a quarrel arose between Kunika and his brother or half-brother Vaihalya over the succession to the throne of their father In this quarrel, the Licchavis lent their support to Vaihalya's claim The result was that Kunika had to fight a battle with the Licchavis who allied themselves with the Mallas and others[2] Kunika must have suffered a defeat, although he succeeded in usurping the throne of Magadha The alliance of the Licchavis and the Mallas existed till the demise of Mahāvīra. The Licchavis and the Mallas were indeed the two peoples to whom the rise of Mahāvīra was an object of national pride Accordingly we read in the Kalpa-Sūtra that when Mahāvīra died at Pāvā,

---

[1] Law, Some Kṣatriya Tribes of Ancient India, p 113.

[2] Barua, The Ājīvikas, p 28

'the eighteen confederate kings of Kāśī and Kośala, the nine Mallakis and the nine Licchavis' instituted an illumination [1]

The people of Anga-Magadha were equally proud to think that their country was hallowed by the presence of so great a teacher and guide as Mahāvīra. According to the Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra, king Srenika Bimbisāra of Magadha paid the following glowing tribute to Mahāvīra when he met him at Rājagrha 'You have made the best use of human birth, you have made a true Jina, . . you are a protector (of mankind at large) and of your relations, for you have entered the path of the best Jinas' Even we are told that forthwith the king, 'together with his wives, servants, and relations, became a staunch believer in the law, with a pure mind' [2]

The Jain works represent Cetaka, maternal uncle of Mahāvīra, as the most powerful king of Videha at whose call all the allied powers of the time combined, either for the purpose of offence or of defence He had seven daughters, the eldest of whom was married to king Udayana of Vatsa,

---

[1] Kalpa-Sūtra, p 128

[2] Uttarādhyayana, XX, V 58, Chimanlal J Shah, Jainism, p 117.

and the youngest to kıng Srenıka Bimbisāra of Magadha One joined the relıgious Order of Mahāvīra and the other four ' were married in one or the other royal family of Eastern India ' There may be some truth in the suggestion made by Mr Chimanlal J Shah that these princesses were instrumental in the propagation of Jainism in Northern India [1]

The Kalpa-Sūtra definitely records that Mahāvīra ' lived thirty years as a householder, more than full twelve years in a state inferior to perfection, something less than thirty years as a Kevalin, forty-two years as a (recluse), and seventy-two years on the whole ' That at the age of seventy-two, in the town of Pāvā, and in king Hastipāla's office of the writers, Mahāvīra died, freed from all pains

Some nine centuries elapsed since his demise when the council of Valabhi under the presidency of Devarddhi met to make a final redaction of the words of Mahāvīra as handed down by an oral tradition, and caused the same to be written in books These sacred books of the Jain Āgama or Siddhānta, all worded in Ardha-Māgadhī, represent the oldest known literary monument in

[1] Jainism in Northern India, pp 88 foll.

Prākrit, other than Pāli. The impetus given by the Master to the development of the current speech of the people at large continued to act, and his later followers greatly helped in the literary development of all the main Prākrit dialects of mediæval India.

The Jains place the event of Mahāvīra's Nirvāna (demise) in B C 527, while the Buddhists of Ceylon fix B C 544 or 543 as the date of Buddha's Mahāparinirvāna These two dates, one proposed for the demise of Mahāvīra and the other for that of the Buddha, cannot be harmonized with the historical facts connected with the life of the two great teachers of India Two things may be taken as certain (1) that Mahāvīra predeceased the Buddha by 5 or 6, 7 or 8, or even 14 or 15 years; and (2) that Mahāvīra passed as a Jina before the Buddha The authenticity of B C 544 or 543 as the date of Buddha's demise has been questioned by modern scholars who propose either 486 or 484 as the correct date The figure 544 or 543 is accounted for as the date of accession of Srenika Bimbisāra. Similarly the figure 527 is accounted for as the date of attainment of Jinahood by Mahāvīra Accepting this date for Mahāvīra's Kevaliship, one has to compute the date of his birth as B C 570, and that of his demise as B.C 498 (or 500 in round numbers)

Mahāvīra was one of the great teachers of mankind. He was indeed one of those teachers through whom the problem of the perfection of man came to be recognized as the highest problem before progressive humanity. All the rules of religious life which he had enjoined, were intended to be a practical aid to the attainment of perfection of the self. He did not preach to others what he had not practised himself. The goal set before mankind was the blissfulness of the entire being which could not be bought by the wealth and pomp and power of the world. This happy state is to be attained through patience, forbearance, self-denial, forgiveness, humanity, compassion, and consideration, in short, sufferings and sacrifice, love and kindness. If he died, he died to live as an eternal personality. Before we close this short account of his life, it may repay our labours to briefly recount the impress of his personality on the life and civilization of India of his time.

Ahimsā or non-harming is the very first principle of higher life that he inculcated to his disciples and followers. The visible effect of *ahimsā* was sought to be proved by a practical demonstration. It was sought to be shown how even such brute creation as the beasts and birds, reptiles and

fishes, happily responded to the non-harming and compassionate attitude or disposition of men Already in Mahāvīra's time, the righteous king of India made it a point of duty to vouchsafe the lawful protection to all forms of life within the compound of a religious establishment (*dhammika-rakkhāvarana-gutti*)[1] This very principle of non-harming had a salutary effect on man's habitual diet Those who came under the influence of Mahāvīra's personality and teachings gave up the eating of meat and fishes for good, and adhered to vegetarian diet This change in diet went to improve the art of preparation of vegetable dishes

The same principle served to mitigate the rigour and ruthlessness of the criminal justice of ancient India The ancient laws were considerably modified and humanized Compassion for the suffering fellow-beings is just the other side of non-harming The principle of compassion was at the back of many philanthropic and humanitarian deeds and institutions which he encouraged. There must have been something very special and most forceful which appealed to the heart of the people of the trading community who realizing the utter worthlessness of earthly good came

---

[1] Majjhima, II, p 101, etc

forward to forsake all things for the good and happiness of others.

Chastity, sexual and moral, is a virtue alike for individuals and nations to develop And this he taught alike to individuals and nations that came under his influence

Salvation was preached as the birthright of men, and it was assured to all without distinction of caste or creed or sex

The *Kriyāvāda* or doctrine of action which he taught went to make men conscious of their responsibility for all their acts, mental, vocal or bodily The same also awakened the consciousness that salvation was not a gift of favour but an attainment within human possibility

Thus he dispensed with the idea of hereditary priesthood It is for all persons to decide for themselves whether they will live as householders or turn monks according to their choice and fitness

The *Syādvāda* forming the basis of Jain metaphysics and dialectics is still a doctrine, which is very imperfectly understood But certain it is that this doctrine was formulated as a scheme of thought in which there is room for consideration of all points of view, and of all ideals This was brought forward at a most critical period of Indian

life when many conflicting dogmas were adumbrated without leading to certitude. The *Syādvāda* stands out as an intellectual idea of that harmony among men which is based upon mutual understanding In literature it has served as a basis of encyclopædic knowledge in which many of his votaries have excelled

Jainism spread all over India since Mahāvīra's demise The Chronicles of Ceylon attest that it spread also in Ceylon The places hallowed by the dust of his feet became sacred as places of pilgrimage to the millions of his votaries Those very places became sites of the Jain shrines, the architecture, sculpture, and painting of which are still the most precious objects of admiration to all

II

TEACHINGS

The teachings of Mahāvīra have come down to us as a living tradition which grew up and took a complete literary form through ten centuries from his demise This tradition was up to a certain date known as fourteen Pūrvas The fourteen Pūrvas themselves presuppose the existence of an earlier ten that had embodied the religious tradition of Pārśva and formed, as we are led to think by a legend in the Bhagavatī-Sūtra, a common basis of the Jaina and Ājīvika Canons. The gradual loss of those Pūrvas may be accounted for by the rise of the sacred books of the Jaina Canon, —the Siddhānta or Āgama by way of compilations from time to time The process of compilation passed through a few stages till it was stopped with the preparation of a final redaction of the Canon at the council of Valabhi, under the presidency of Ārya Devarddhi, in 454 (or 467) A D [1] The casual references to the books representing various divisions of the said Canon seem to suggest a stratification of the Angas, the Upāngas,

[1] Jaina-Sūtras, Part I, Introd , p xxxvii

and the rest and a chronology of their gradual development. The process of growth is comparable to that of an embryo in the womb, the various parts and limbs manifesting themselves, one after the other, till the organism reaches its full-fledged form

There was obviously a stage when the twelve Angas were known and acknowledged as the only authoritative sacred books of Jainism The twelfth Anga, called Dṛṣṭivāda, has been lost, as the tradition of the Jaina Church persistently informs us But even the loss of this Anga may be accounted for by the rise of other books on its basis So, looked at from this point of view, nothing has been lost

The Sūtrakṛtānga expressly refers only to the twelve Angas as 'the Canon of the Ganins, which has been taught, produced, and declared by the Śramanas, the Nirgranthas, viz the Ācārānga (all down to) the Drstivāda'[1] These are indeed the sacred texts that were venerated as *maitthāna* (mātṛsthāna, matrices),[2] precisely as the Buddhists viewed the five Nikāyas as *mātukā* or matrices of their Canon[3] Professor Jacobi misses the real

[1] Sūtrakṛtānga, II, 1 27

[2] *Ibid*, I, 9 24

[3] For this meaning of *mātukā*, see D L Barua's note, I C, Vol I, pp 107 foll

import of the word *maitthāna* when he inclines to equate it with māyāsthāna [1] The twelve Angas were the matrices or fundamental bases of the Jaina Siddhānta

Even with regard to the Angas, it may be shown that Ardha-Māgadhī, the literary idiom developed in them, is younger than Pāli of the Buddhist Canon preserved in Ceylon [2] In the same connection, one may think with Professor Jacobi that 'the first book of the Ācārānga and that of the Sūtrakrtānga-Sūtra may be reckoned among the most ancient parts of the Siddhānta', or that the Vaitāliya metre used in the Pāli Dhammapada represents 'an older stage in the development of the Vaitāliya' than that in the Sūtrakrtānga [3] Even one may cite instances of parallelism between Jainism and Buddhism, and of influence of the latter on the former When we see that Mahāvīra is honoured with such epithets as Buddha, Tathāgata, Sugata, and Sambuddha, we cannot help feeling that these are borrowed Just take them away, and you see that Jina, Arhat, Tīrthankara, Vīra, Mahāvīra, Vaiśālika, Nirgrantha, the

---

[1] Jaina-Sūtras, Pt II, p 304, f n 3

[2] Āyāramga, edited by Jacobi, Introd

[3] Jaina-Sūtras, Pt I, Introd , p xli

great Brāhmana, the great Śramana, and the rest of usual titles of Vardhamāna come into prominence as Jaina specials [1]

The text of the Angas and that of some of the Upāngas do not fail, notwithstanding their later accretions, to suggest that very atmosphere of society, religion, and thought in which it was possible for Jainism and Buddhism to arise and make a wide appeal to the classes of people to whom they were addressed Sīlānka and other Jaina commentators have assiduously tried, no doubt, to clear up allusions in these ancient Jaina texts to the views, beliefs, and practices by means of systems and doctrines of the Sānkhya, the Vedānta or the Buddhist Śūnyavāda philosophy But similar allusions to those very views, beliefs, and practices in the text of the Pāli Canon suggest at once a much earlier stage of Indian religious thought, which was only imperfectly understood by the Jaina scholiasts Thus even in dealing with the teachings of Mahāvīra, the safer means of distinguishing between things earlier and later in the Jaina Angas consists in the side-lights that may be gathered from the Pāli Nikāyas, but not from the guesses of the Jaina scholiasts who flourished

[1] *Ibid*, pp xix–xx

long, long after the age in which Mahāvīra or his immediate disciples and followers had lived

Thus the Angas are the main set of texts upon which we should rely in giving an account and estimate of Mahāvīra's teachings, and the Pāli Nikāyas are of help to us only in so far as they corroborate the Jaina evidence

We have seen that the Pāli Nikāyas bring before us a great personality, who was known to his contemporaries as Nirgrantha Jñātrputra. Around this personality there gathered a large number of men and women, from all families or social grades His disciples and followers sincerely believed that their master was a great Śramana, a great Brāhmana, a great Tīrthankara, a great Guru, a great Master, who, whether walking or sitting still, was gifted with a supreme knowledge and vision of the *summum bonum* It is this earnest belief or deep conviction about the greatness of the Teacher that had induced them to repose their trust in him and in his words To them he stood as a living example of highest human virtue and perfection His life was to them a perennial source of light and inspiration His sufferings and forbearance kept them steady in all their trials and tribulations. And his teachings or instructions were to them not ordinary words but

utterances of one who saw the light of truth and was able to lead others along the path to truth. In all earnestness they sought to obey those words, both in the letter and in the spirit. In other words, those teachings of his were readily accepted by them as a means of satisfying their supreme religious need

The goal of their religious life, as we are informed in a Pāli Sutta, was *sukha* or infinite bliss, which was not to be measured by the finite happiness of mortals So the main formula or aphorism of Mahāvīra's teachings was The infinite bliss is not reachable through the finite happiness of even so fortunate among men as the reigning monarchs, it is reachable only through *dukkha* or pain of foregoing and forsaking all finite happiness [1]

The formula suggests a twofold inquiry: one regarding the nature of the goal, and the other regarding the nature of the path The nature of the goal suggested is *sukha* or infinite bliss, and the implication is that it is attainable by human efforts And the nature of the path suggested is *dukkha* or pain of foregoing and forsaking all finite happiness, and the implication is that the path that leads to worldly happiness is not the path that leads to infinite bliss

---

[1] Majjhima-Nikāya, I, pp. 93-94

Now let us see how the Tīrthankara has been described in the Jaina Sūtrakrtānga, and in what light his personality and teachings were viewed by his immediate disciples. He is the glorious man who lived before their eyes. He is the great sage who possessed infinite knowledge and infinite faith. He is the highest and the wisest in the whole world. He is the omniscient sage Kāśyapa who proclaimed the highest law of the Jinas. His knowledge is inexhaustible like the water of the sea. He is limitless and pure like the great ocean. He is passionless, unfettered, and brilliant like Sakra, the king of the gods. By his vigour he is the most vigorous. He stands in the centre of the earth like Mt Sudarśana, the best of all mountains. He is seen in a pure light like that of the sun. He is noble, glorious, full of faith, knowledge, and virtue. After having taught the highest law he practised the highest contemplation which is the purest of pure, thoroughly white as it were like mother-of-pearl and moon. Having annihilated all his karman by his knowledge, virtue, and faith, he reached the unsurpassable, highest perfection, which is a state with a beginning but without an end. He is the best of teachers who have taught Nirvāna. He is the hero who grants protection to all, and whose perfection is infinite.

He had mastered all philosophical systems, and practised control as long as he lived. All that is the best in nature and the highest in the world serves as a point of comparison, and yet he remains incomparable and unsurpassed.[1]

The 'distant end'[2] or ultimate object of Jainism, as taught by Mahāvīra, is Nirvāna which 'consists in peace'.[3] Nirvāna is just another name of *moksa* or liberation, *mukti* or deliverance. The liberation is not 'anything unreal, but the best thing' This is no false assertion to declare that there is such a thing as liberation, which can be realized by man in the highest condition of aloofness and transcendentality of himself[4] As Gautama, a disciple of Mahāvīra, explained to Keśī, a disciple of Pārśva, 'There is a safe place in view of all, but difficult of approach, where there is no old age, nor death, no pain nor disease' 'It is what is called Nirvāṇa, or freedom from pain, or perfection, which is in view of all, it is the safe, happy, and quiet place which the great sages reach. This is the eternal place, in view of all, but difficult of approach'[5] If it is liberation,

[1] Sūtrakrtānga, I, 6, Jaina-Sūtras, Pt II, pp 287–292.

[2] *Ibid*, I, 8 18, Jaina-Sūtras, II, p 299.

[3] *Ibid*, I, 11 11.

[4] *Ibid*, I, 10 12

[5] Uttarādhyayana, XXIII, 81–84, Jaina-Sūtras, II, p. 128.

liberation from what? The reply given is—from a state of bondage brought on by karman If it is deliverance, deliverance from what? To this, the reply is—from old age, disease, death, in short, all that constitutes a painful existence for the self

If Nirvāna or *Moksa* is a real state of *sukha* or bliss, how can it be reached? The opinion that 'Pleasant things are produced from pleasant things', 'Moksa, a pleasant thing, is arrived at through a comfortable life, another pleasant thing' is opposed and proved to be futile The precedents cited from the tradition of such ancient and renowned Rsis as Asita, Devala, Dvaipāyana, and Parāśara, who were generally believed to have reached perfection, notwithstanding the fact that they had eaten seeds and drunk water are considered no valid proof in support of the above proposition [1] Even the Buddhist mode of life appeared to be too comfortable to be compatible with the right path to salvation as promulgated by the great Teacher [2] So the proposition had to be rather reversed in formulating the path set out for the Nirgranthas

---

[1] Sūtrakrtānga, I, 3 4, 4-6, Jaina-Sūtras, II, p 269.

[2] Jaina-Sūtras, II, p. 269, f n 3

Na sukhena sukham adhigantabbam,
dukkhena sukham adhigantabbam [1]

What is the *dukkha* or painful and difficult path whereby the disciples of the Jina were called to seek *sukha* or infinite bliss,—the liberation, the deliverance, the beatitude, the salvation, the Nirvāṇa? On this head we are informed in the Pāli Sutta that by the *dukkha* or painful and difficult path was meant *dukkarakārikā* or rigorous practice of penances *Samvara* [2] or practice of self-restraint with regard to the body, speech, and mind was just the other aspect of *dukkarakārikā* or *tapas* The practice of austerities or penances was to be resorted to as a means of wearing out and ultimately destroying the effects of sinful deeds (pāpakamma) committed in former existences (pubbe), and that of the threefold self-restraint, as a means of not giving effect to a new *karman.* Thus from the undoing of the effects of old karman by means of penances (purānānam kammānaṁ tapasā vyantibhāvā) and the non-doing of such acts as are likely to produce a new karman effect (navānam kammānam akaranā) there follows, as

---

[1] Majjhima-Nikāya, I, Cūladukkhakkhandha-Sutta

[2] The term is supplied, as it does not actually occur in the text, but is clearly implied

a result, the non-gliding of the self in the course of *samsāra* in future (āyatim anavassavo). The sequel of this is the destruction of *karman* (kammakkhayo), the sequel of that is the destruction of the painful physical condition of the self (dukkhakkhayo), the sequel of that is the destruction of the painful mental condition of the self (vendanākkhayo), and from that ultimately results a complete wearing out of all pain, bodily or mental (sabbam dukkham nijjinnam bhavissati) [1]

Thus here we have a somewhat clumsy but, upon the whole, a correct and faithful reproduction of the words of Mahāvīra as represented by his disciples to those of the Buddha The historical importance of this Buddhist statement of Mahāvīra's teachings is that it points to a very early formulation of the main ideas of Jainism. Its importance lies also in the fact that it sets forth the whole chain of reasoning by which the terms of the Jaina thought were interlinked, the sequence ending in *nirjarā* or *moksa* It serves also to unveil the plan of thought in which the chain of reasoning was sought to be developed by arranging the terms broadly under two heads: positive and negative, or negative and positive.

[1] Majjhima-Nikāya, I, 93

The books of the Jaina Canon clearly show that the terminology and exposition of the Jaina thought had developed by stages. The system, when it had reached its final shape in the Canon itself, came to be represented by the following terms and groups of terms

On its logical or dialectical side it came to be described as a doctrine of nayas,[1] and on its pragmatic or practical side, as Kriyāvāda [2]

The three spheres of the self to which it was required to administer came to be represented by these three terms *jñāna* or sphere of knowledge and intuition, *darśana* or sphere of faith and devotion, and *cāritra* or sphere of conduct and *behaviour* [3]

The main system of Jainism came to be represented as *navatattva* or doctrine of nine terms [4]

1 *jīva* 6 *āśrava*
2 *ajīva* 7 *samvara*
3 *bandha* 8 *karmaksaya*
4 *punya* 9 *moksa.*
5 *pāpa*

[1] Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra, XXVIII, 24

[2] Sūtrakṛtānga, I, 12 21

[3] *Ibid*, I, 6 14.

[4] Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra, XXVIII, 14, Jaina-Sūtras, Pt II, p 154

As corollaries to these terms, it came to include two other groups of terms [1]

1. The six *astikāyas* or terms comprehending and characterizing the world of existence

(1) *dharma*, (2) *adharma*, (3) *kāla*, (4) *ākāśa*, and (5) *ātmā*

2 The three terms of *dravya*, *guna*, and *paryāya* comprehending and characterizing the six *astikāyas*

The doctrine of *nayas* is just what is termed *syādvāda* in the post-canonical works, and the *nayas* are no other than the seven modes of syādvāda (saptabhanginyāya) The canonical texts just mention the *nayas* without fixing up their number, four or seven

The term *kiriya* or *Kiriyāvāda*, and the three terms relating to the three aspects of the Jaina faith may be shown to have formed a part of the oldest known nomenclature of Jainism

The terms representing the main system and the terms forming corollaries to them are indiscriminately set out together with other terms of a similar import in a passage in the Sūtrakrtānga presenting certain important articles of faith

' Do not maintain that the world does not exist, maintain that it exists

[1] Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra, XXVIII, 5-7

Do not maintain that *jīva* and *ajīva* do not exist, but that they exist.

Do not maintain that *dharma* and *adharma* do not exist, but that they exist.

Do not maintain that bondage and liberation do not exist, but that they exist

Do not maintain that virtue (puṇya) and pāpa (vice) do not exist, but that they exist.

Do not maintain that *āśrava* and the stoppage of *āśrava* (saṁvara) do not exist, but that they exist.

Do not maintain that the experiencing of the effect, and the annihilation of karman do not exist, but that they exist

Do not maintain that activity and non-activity do not exist, but that they exist

* * * * *

Do not maintain that there is no such thing as perfection and non-perfection, but that there is such a thing

Do not maintain that there is no place exclusively reserved for those who attain to perfection, but that there is such '[1]

The articles of faith formulated from an implied *syādvāda* point of view read

'He (a Jaina monk) should not believe that (this world) is without beginning or without end,

[1] Sūtrakrtānga, II, 5, Jaina-Sūtras, II, pp 405–409

eternal or not eternal, according to the argumentation (of others)

From these alternatives you cannot arrive at truth ; from these alternatives you are certainly led to error.'

Similarly, 'One should not say that there will be an end of beings who (know and) teach the truth ; nor that all beings are not alike, nor that they shall be in (perpetual) bondage, or (that the prophets are eternal) ' [1]

Thus the main points of Jainism as taught by its founder and interpreted by its later exponents may be envisaged Here our immediate task is to see what light may be actually thrown on those points from the text of the Angas considered in the light of the evidence of the Pāli Nikāyas

1 *Syādvāda*.—This consists of certain *nayas* which, according to Sir R G Bhandarkar, are 'points of view or principles with reference to which certain judgments are arrived at or arrangements made ' [2] The number of *nayas* came to be finally fixed as seven, while the canonical texts are reticent about their number The term *syādvāda* itself is met with in the Sūtrakrtāṅga

---

[1] Sūtrakrtānga, II, 5, Jaina-Sūtras, II, pp. 405–409

[2] Bhandarkar, Report, p 112

One cannot fail to perceive the logical necessity of its formulation in the teachings of Mahāvīra. Both Mahāvīra and Buddha had to reckon with the sceptical position of Sañjaya suspending judgments where an assertion of truth appeared to be opposed by a counter-assertion, each, according to a verbal interpretation, excluding the other If the question was mooted like this Is the world eternal or not eternal ? The Master's advice to his disciples was neither to side with those who maintained that the world is eternal nor with those who maintained that it is not eternal The reason assigned for this was that from neither of these alternatives they could arrive at truth, or that proceeding (exclusively) from either they would only be led to error. To avoid exclusiveness in judgments about all matters of fact is the chief thing aimed at in the above advice. Thus in deciding all such questions, the admirable way was one of *syādvāda* 'The world is eternal as far as that part is concerned which is the substratum of the idea (sāmānya) "world", it is not eternal as far as its ever-changing state is meant '[1] The *syād* mode was the real way of escape from the position of the dogmatist and

[1] Jaina-Sūtras, II, p 405, f n 1

that of the sceptic, from both of which Mahāvīra recoıled

2 *Krıyāvāda*.—Jainism was launched forth by its founder as a *Krıyāvāda*,[1] which, accordıng to the Pālı Nıkāya usage, ıs the same term as *Karmavāda* or doctrıne of action The Pāli Nıkāyas refer to an ancıent householder teacher of Indıa as the first expounder of the doctrıne of actıon[2] The Jaına Sūtrakrtānga, too, speaks of other types of *Krıyāvāda* then current in Indıa.[3] Buddhism, too, was promulgated as a form of *Krıyāvāda* or *Karmavāda*. Further ın the teachıng of Mahāvīra, *Krıyāvāda* of Jainism ıs sharply dıstinguıshed from *akrıyāvāda* (doctrine of non-action), *ajñānavāda* (sceptıcısm), and *vınayavāda* (formalism), precısely as ın the word of the Buddha, *krıyāvāda* of Buddhısm ıs dıstinguıshed from *satkāyadṛstı* ınvolvıng varıous types of *akrıyā*, *vıcıkıtsā* (scepticısm), and *sīlavrata-parāmarśa* (formalism)[4] Thus to arrıve at a correct understandıng of the doctrinal sıgnıficance of *krıyāvāda* of Jaınısm ıt ıs necessary not only to see how it has been dıstinguıshed from *akrıyāvāda*, *ajñāna-*

---

[1] Sūtrakrtānga, I, 12 21

[2] Majjhıma-N, I, p 483

[3] Sūtrakrtānga, I, 6 27, I, 10 17

[4] Khuddakapātha, p 5

vāda, and *vinayavāda*, but also from other types of *kriyāvāda*

As typical instances of *akriyāvāda*, the Pāli Nikāyas mention the doctrines and views of four teachers, all of whom are associated with Mahāvīra as his notable contemporaries and as great leaders of Indian thought of that age. These are the very doctrines and views that find mention in the Jaina Sūtrakṛtānga as types of *akriyāvāda*. The four teachers, according to Buddhist tradition, are Pūrana Kāśyapa, Maskari Gośāla, Kakudha Kātyāyana, and Ajita Keśakambalī.[1] The first of them is represented as a teacher who advocated a theory of chance to account for all actions of beings, while in the Sūtrakṛtānga similar views are interpreted as a theory of the passivity of souls (niskriyāvāda) The second man is introduced as a dangerous fatalist both in the Pāli Nikāyas and in the Jaina Angas The third man plays the rôle of an eternalist seeking to account for all phenomena, all happenings in the world by a mechanical combination and separation of seven factors in reality, the number being six as given in the Jaina Angas. The fourth man appears as a veritable atheist denying the possibility of

[1] Dīgha-N , I, pp 47-48

individual existence after death and the efficacy of all funeral rites performed for the benefit of the deceased person [1]

The types of *akriyāvāda* mentioned in the Sūtrakṛtāṅga are as follows

(1) There are these five gross elements earth, water, fire, wind, and air From them arises an intelligent principle called *ātman* On the dissolution of the five elements living beings cease to exist

Everybody, fool or sage, has an individual soul These souls exist as long as the body exists, but after death they are no more. There are no souls which are born again

There is neither virtue nor vice, there is no world beyond On the dissolution of the body the individual ceases to be

There are individual souls They experience pleasure and pain, and at death they lose their state of life [2]

(2) When a man acts or causes another to act, it is not his soul (ātman) which acts or causes to act [3]

---

[1] Jacobi, Jaina-Sūtras, II, pp 236–239

[2] Sūtrakṛtānga, I, 1 1 7, 8, 12, I, 1 2 1, I, 1 1 18. Jaina-Sūtras, II, pp 236–239

[3] *Ibid*, I, 1 1 13

(3) There are five elements and the soul is a sixth substance. These six substances are imperishable and eternal by their very nature.[1]

(4) Pleasure, pain, and final beatitude are not caused by the souls themselves, but the individual souls experience them. It is the lot assigned to them by destiny.

Some beings have motion, others not. It depends on certain conditions whether they are in the one state or in the other.[2]

(5) The world has been created or is governed by the gods

It has been created or is governed by Brahman.

It has been created or is governed by Īśvara.

It has been created by Svayambhū

It is produced from the primeval egg, and Brahman created the things.

It is produced from chaos [3]

(6) The world is boundless and eternal, it exists from eternity and does not perish

It is limited, but eternal. The knowledge of the highest being is unlimited. It is limited in every way [4]

---

[1] Sūtrakṛtānga, I, 1 1. 15-16

[2] *Ibid*, I, 1 2. 2, 3, I, 1 4 8

[3] *Ibid*, I, 1. 3. 5-8, Jaina-Sūtras, Part II.

[4] *Ibid*, I, 1 1. 17

(7) Just as the earth, though it is but one pile, presents many forms, so *ātman*, the self, appears under various forms as the universe [1]

All these views are again reduced to just four main types that correspond to those associated in the Pāli Nikāyas with four leading thinkers of the time, viz atheism, like that of Ajita ; eternalism, like that of Kātyāyana , absolutism, like that of Kāśyapa ; and fatalism, like that of Gośāla

The first type is represented thus ·

The *ātman* is a living individual, a biological entity. The whole self lives and lasts as long as the body, it does not outlast the destruction of the body With the body ends life. When it has been consumed by fire, only dove-coloured bones remain, and the four bearers return with the hearse to their village Therefore, there is and exists no soul apart from the body, those who believe it speak the truth

The second type stands as follows

The five substances with the soul as the sixth are not created, directly or indirectly. They are without beginning and end They always produce effects through an intermixture, and are independent of a directing cause or everything else They

---

[1] Sūtrakrtānga, I, 1 1 9

are eternal. What is, does not perish. From nothing, nothing comes.

The third type teaches

All things have the ātman, self or ego for their cause and object, they are produced by the self, they are manifested by the self, they are intimately connected with the self, they are bound up in the self. As, for instance, a water bubble is produced in water, grows in water, is not separate from water, but is bound up in water, so as to all things and the self

The fourth type teaches

One man admits action, another man does not admit action Both men are alike, their case is the same, because they are actuated by the same force, i e by fate It is their destiny that all beings, movable or immovable, come to have a body, to undergo the vicissitudes of life, and to experience pleasure and pain

Each of these four types stands as an example of *akriyāvāda* inasmuch as it fails to inspire moral and pious action, or to make an individual responsible for an action and its consequences.[1]

The *ajñānavāda*, *vinayavāda*, and other types of *kriyāvāda* are nowhere clearly described. We are

---

[1] Sūtrakrtānga, II, 1 15–34, Jaina-Sūtras, II, pp 339–347

simply told that the upholders of the first cannot lead to knowledge, that they cannot reach the truth by themselves, still less teach it to other men [1] In the Pāli Nikāyas, they are described as prevaricators in their judgments and statements Whenever they are confronted with two alternatives in thought, appearing to be equally tenable and untenable, they refrain from giving their own judgments and begin to point to the need of seeking peace by avoiding both the alternatives According to the Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra, the 'inefficiency of knowledge' is the real upshot of *ajñānavāda* [2] In the Sūtrakṛtānga, the upholders of *ajñānavāda* are represented as those thinkers who pretending to be clever, reason incoherently, and do not get beyond the confusion of their ideas [3]

The Vinayavāda may be supposed to have been the same doctrine as what is called *Sīlabbata-parāmāsa* in Pāli The *sīlabbata-parāmāsa* is a view of those who maintain that the purity of oneself may be reached through the observance of certain moral precepts (sīlena) or by means

---

[1] Sūtrakṛtānga, I, 1 2 17

[2] Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra, XVIII, 23.

[3] Sūtrakṛtānga, I, 12 2

of keeping certain vows (vatena) as prescribed. According to the Sūtrakrtāṅga, the upholders of *vinayavāda* are those who assert · 'The goal of religious life is realized by confirmation to the rules of discipline '[1] 'Some say that perfection is reached by abstaining from the seasoner of food (salt), others by the use of cold water (by ablutions), others again by (tending) a fire '[2]

The types of *kriyāvāda* that do not come up to the standard of Jainism are two in number ·

(1) The soul of him who is pure will become free from bad *karman* on reaching beatitude, but in that state it will again become defiled through pleasant excitement or hatred. As clear water which is free from defilement becomes again defiled, so will be the soul[3]

(2) If a man with the intention of killing a baby hurts a gourd, mistaking it for a baby, he will be guilty of murder. If, on the other hand, a man with the intention of roasting a gourd roasts a baby, mistaking him for a gourd, he will not be guilty of murder This is the view of the Buddhist with whom intention is the criterion of the moral guilt or innocence in man's action[4]

---

[1] Sūtrakrtānga, I, 12 4
[2] *Ibid* , I, 7. 12.
[3] *Ibid* , I, 1 3 11, 12
[4] *Ibid* , II, 6 26, 27.

The main formula of the *krıyāvāda* of Mahāvīra reads

Sayaṁkadañ ca dukkhaṃ, nānnakaḍam.

'The painful condition of the self is brought about by one's own action, it is not brought about by any other cause (fate, creator, chance, or the like)'[1]

The same is stated thus in the Pāli Nikāyas

Sukha-dukkham sayamkataṁ

in contradistinction to

Sukha-dukkham paramkatam

'Pleasure and pain are brought about by one's own action'[2]

'Individually a man is born, individually he dies, individually he falls (from this state of existence), individually he rises (to another) His passions, consciousness, intellect, perceptions, and impressions belong to the individual exclusively Here, indeed, the bonds of relationship are not able to help nor save one'[3]

'All living beings owe their present form of existence to their own karman, timid, wicked, suffering latent misery, they err about (in the

[1] Sūtrakrtānga, I, 12 11

[2] Anguttara-Nikāya, III, p 440, Samyutta-Nikāya, II, p 22

[3] Sūtrakrtānga, II, 1 41; Jaina-Sūtras, II, p 349

circle of births), subject to birth, old age, and death.' [1]

'The sinners cannot annihilate works by new works, the pious annihilate their works by abstention from works.' [2]

'Pleasant things are not produced from pleasant things' [3]

'He who intends (to kill) a living being but does not do it by his body, and he who unknowingly kills one, both are affected by that through a slight contact (with it) only, but the demerit (in their case) is not fully developed.' [4]

'He who knows himself and the world; who knows where (the creatures) go, and whence they will not return, who knows what is eternal and what is transient, birth and death, and the future existences of men.'

'He who knows the tortures of beings below (in hell); who knows the influx of sin and its stoppage, who knows misery and its annihilation,—he is entitled to expound the kriyāvāda' [5]

The texts cited above suffice to indicate that the Jaina doctrine of nine terms (navatattva)

[1] Sūtrakṛtānga, I, 2. 3 18, Jaina-Sūtras, II, p 260
[2] *Ibid*, I, 12 15
[3] Implied in *ibid*, I, 3 4. 6
[4] *Ibid*, I, 1 2 25.
[5] *Ibid.*, I, 12 20. 21

developed from the necessity for a systematic exposition of *kriyāvāda*, which is in its essential feature only a theory of soul and *karma*. According to this theory, there are as many souls as living individuals, and *karma* consists of acts, intentional and unintentional, that produce effects on the nature of soul. Thus soul is not passive in the sense that it remains untouched or unaffected by what a person does for the sake of some interests but is susceptible to the influences of *karma*. The effects or impressions of individual acts or efforts on soul are called *lesā* or *leśyās*. The *lesā* is, according to the Sūtrakrtānga, a term signifying 'colour'.[1] The classification of living beings in terms of six colours may be traced in Pārśva's doctrine of six *jīva-nikāyas*[2] The Ājīvika expression, *chalābhijātiyo*, as explained by Buddhaghosa, implies the same method of classification of men in terms of six colours[3] The Mahābhārata expression, *jīva-ṣat-varnāh*, hardly leaves room for doubt that the *lesā* is a term to denote colour The Buddhist idea of contamination of mind by the influx of impuri-

[1] Sūtrakrtānga, I, 6 13, Jaina-Sūtras, II, p 196 f n

[2] Ācārānga, II, 15 16

[3] Barua, A History of Pre-Buddhistic Indian Philosophy, pp 309 and 318.

ties from outside, illustrated by the simile of a piece of cloth dyed blue, red, yellow, or the like [1] would seem to have some bearing on the Jaina doctrine of six *leśyās* which is merely hinted at, here and there, in the Sūtrakṛtāṅga,[2] and fully explained in the Uttarādhyayana.[3] The Jaina religious efforts are directed towards the acquisition of pure *leśyā* [4]

3 *Jñāna, Darśana, Chāritra* —Knowledge, Faith, and Virtue are the three terms that signify the comprehensiveness of Jainism as taught by Mahāvīra The Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra adds Austerities as a fourth to the usual earlier list of three terms.[5] Knowledge is characterized as right knowledge, Faith, as right faith, and Virtue, as right conduct These three constitute the path to beatitude, to liberation, to Nirvāṇa.

The Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra speaks of five kinds of knowledge · (1) *śruta*, or that which is derived from the study of sacred books ; (2) *abhinibodhika*, or that which is derived from one's experience,

---

[1] Barua, Cittaviśuddhiprakaraṇa and its Pāli Basis, in Indian Culture

[2] Sūtrakṛtāṅga, I, 4. 21, where a Jaina saint is described as a person 'whose soul is in a pure condition (leśyā)'.

[3] Uttarādhyayana, XXXIV [4] Sūtrakṛtāṅga, I, 10. 15.

[5] Jaina-Sūtras, II, 152.

thought or understanding; (3) *avadhi*, or that which is co-extensive with the object; (4) *manaḥ-paryāya*, or knowledge of the thoughts of other people; and (5) *kevala*, or the highest, unlimited knowledge. The first kind of knowledge corresponds to what the Buddhists call *sutamaya paññā*; the second kind, to what they call *cintāmaya paññā*; the third kind, to what they call *vilokana*; the fourth kind, to what they call *cetopariyā-ñāṇa*; and the fifth kind, to what they call *sabbaññutā* or omniscience consisting in three faculties of reviewing and recalling to mind all past existences with details, of perceiving the destiny of other beings according to their deeds, and of being conscious of the final destruction of sins.

The *Avadhi-jñāna* is rather knowledge which is co-extensive with the object rather than knowledge which is supernatural. The Kalpa-Sūtra, for instance, says: he viewed the whole Jambudvīpa with his knowledge called *avadhi*.[1] Here *avadhi* means that which is limited by the object, that which is just sufficient to survey the field of observation.

The *manaḥparyāya-jñāna* is defined in the Ācārāṅga-Sūtra as a knowledge of the thoughts of

[1] Kalpa-Sūtra, 15; Jaina-Sūtras, I, p 223.

all sentient beings.[1] And the *kevala-jñāna* is defined in the same text as omniscience enabling a person to comprehend all objects, to know all conditions of the world of gods, men, and demons: whence they come, where they go, where they are born, etc.[2]

Knowledge, as represented in the Aṅgas and other texts of the Canon, is rather religious vision, intention or wisdom than knowledge in a metaphysical sense.

The *samyak darśana* or right faith consists in an insight into the meaning of truths as proclaimed and taught, a mental perception of the excellence of the system as propounded, a personal conviction as to the greatness and goodness of the teacher, and a ready acceptance of certain articles of faith for one's guidance *Samyak darśana* is intended to remove all doubt and scepticism from one's mind,[3] and to establish or re-establish faith. It is such a form of faith as is likely to inspire action by opening a new vista of life and its perfection. Right faith on the one hand, and inaction, vacillation, on the other, are mutually incompatible.[4]

---

[1] Ācārāṅga, II, 15 23 [2] *Ibid.*, II, 15. 25.

[3] Uttarādhyayana, XXXII, 2.

[4] *Ibid*, XXVIII, 16–28. Note the nine kinds of obstruction to right faith in *ibid*, XXXIII, 5. 6

The articles of the Jaina faith are all formulated with a view to producing a mental disposition and outlook which is different from that which is likely to be produced by the various types of *akriyāvāda*, *ajñānavāda*, and *vinayavāda* [1]

The excellence of faith depends on the following points that one has no doubts about the truth of the tenets, that one has no preference for the tenets of others, that one does not doubt the saving qualities of one's own faith, that one is not shaken in the right belief, that one praises the pious, that one encourages the weak fellows, that one supports and loves the confessors of the law, and that one endeavours to exalt one's own faith [2]

A man of knowledge is a man of faith, and a man of faith is a man of action. Virtue consists in right conduct But there is no right conduct without right belief, and no right belief without the right perception of truth [3] The quintessence of right conduct is the purity of morals [4] which is achieved by the threefold restraint, the restraint of the body, the restraint of speech, the restraint of mind The first step to virtue lies in the avoid-

[1] Sūtrakrtānga, II, 5 the Lecture called Freedom from Error

[2] Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra, XXVIII.

[3] *Ibid*, XXVIII, 28 29

[4] Sūtrakrtānga, I, 1. 2. 27.

ance of sins. There are various ways of committing sins, directly and indirectly, by bodily acts, by the word of mouth and even by thoughts [1] Thus to avoid sins one must guard oneself by the *samitis* and *guptis*. Not to kill anything, to live according to the rules of conduct and without greed, to take care of the highest good, to control oneself always in walking, in sitting and lying down, and in the matter of food and drink, to leave off pride, wrath, deceit, and greed, to possess the *samitis*, to be protected by the five *samvaras*, and to reach perfection by remaining unfettered among the fettered,—these are, in short, the cardinal principles of *chāritra* as taught by Mahāvīra [2]

4. *Doctrine of nine terms.*—Right knowledge, faith, and conduct, the three essential points in Mahāvīra's teachings, constitute the path of Jainism which leads to the destruction of *karma* and to perfection [3] Destruction means, on the one hand, the exhaustion of accumulated effects of action in the past, and it means, on the other, the stoppage of the future rise of such effects. Perfection (siddhi) consists in the consciousness of one's

[1] Sūtrakṛtānga, I, 1. 2. 26. Three ways of committing sins: by one's own activity, by commission, by approval of the deed.

[2] *Ibid.*, I, 1. 4 10–13 [3] *Ibid.*, I, 2. 1 21, 22.

liberation, and liberation means the freedom of soul from its bondage. The doctrine of nine terms is formulated with a view to explaining systematically these two points. Of the nine terms, some are to explain how the bondage of soul arises by way of effects of *karma* upon it, and some to explain how those effects are got rid of and the liberation of soul is obtained

The first pair of terms consists of *jīva* and *ajīva* These two terms comprehend the world of existence as known and experienced. The *jīva* signifies all that has life, and the *ajīva* signifies the things without life The world of life is represented by the six classes of living things and beings, the first three of which are immovable and the last three movable. The earth lives, water lives, and plants are immovable beings, while the movable beings are the fire lives, wind lives, and those with an organic body The living things are either subtile or gross, and the living beings are either those still belonging to *saṁsāra* or those whose souls are perfected Through the gradation of living things and beings one can trace the evolution of the senses, the lowest form of beings being provided with only one sense, the sense of touch.[1]

[1] Uttarādhyayana, XXXVI, Sūtrakṛtānga, I, 7. I

The study of the category of *jīva* is important because it is in connection or inter-connection with the six classes of beings that the process of *karma* sets in and the nature of man's conduct is determined. The Jina taught · 'Know and understand that they all desire happiness ; by hurting these beings men do harm to their own souls, and will again and again be born as one of them. Every being born high or low in the scale of the living creation, among movable and immovable beings, will meet with its death Whatever sins the evil doer commits in every birth, for them he must die.' [1]

The things without life are either formed or formless The formed are compound things and atoms constituting the world of matter. The world of the formless is represented by the first four of the *astikāyas*, viz. *dharma*, *adharma*, space, and time. *Dharma* and *adharma* explain motion and absence of motion respectively Space explains how things and beings appear mutually distinct, and time explains their duration of existence. Thus the category of *ajīva* helps us in completing our study of the world of life and of existence.[2]

---

[1] Sūtrakṛtānga, I, 7. 2, 3 , Jaina-Sūtras, II, p 292.

[2] Uttarādhyayana, XXXVI

The third term or category is *bandha* or bondage of soul. Bondage is the subjection of soul to the laws of birth and death, old age and decay, pleasure and pain, and other vicissitudes of life brought about by the effect of *karma* The soul which is the fifth of the five *astikāyas* represents the principle of intelligence 'The characteristic of soul is knowledge, faith, conduct, austerities, energy, and realization of its developments.' [1]

The categories of merit (punya) and demerit (pāpa) comprehend all acts or deeds, pious and sinful, which keep the soul bound to the circle of births and deaths [2]

Āśrava is that which causes the soul to be affected by sins, and *samvara* is the principle of self-control by which the influx of sins is checked or stopped The category of *saṁvara* comprehends the whole sphere of right conduct.

Nirjarā consists in the wearing out of accumulated effects of *karma* on the soul by the practice of austerities, and *moksa* which logically follows from *nirjarā* signifies the final deliverance of the soul from the bondage of *karma*, the bondage of sin Siddhi or perfection is just the other aspect of liberation

[1] Uttarādhyayana, XXVIII, 11. [2] *Ibid.*, XXXI, 3.

5. *A gloomy view of the world.*—The world as painted in the words of Mahāvīra presents a gloomy picture It represents that state of existence of the soul in which it has to undergo repeated births and deaths and all their concomitant experiences. It is *saṁsāra* or course of life and death which appears like a boundless flood of water with its dangerous current. Earth, water, fire, and air, all contain lives. The senses and mental faculties become manifest in varying degrees in various forms of beings. Man finds himself in an awful situation where he has to work under the influence of affection, passion, and attachment The world presents a constant scene of quarrel and strife, death and carnage, and of all mad pursuits of life, the ultimate end of which is utter disappointment For the sake of food and drink, lodging and comfort, woman and wealth, a man is involved in various works that lead the soul from sin to sin Attached to the agreeable sounds and colours, tastes and smells, touches and perceptions, in short, to all seductive pleasures of the senses, the living beings suffer and find no escape from pain. The path to these pleasures is the path to birth, disease, decay, and death. A reflection on these conditions of the world as one daily sees them all around leads a man away from

them to seek a safe island, to seek peace, to seek liberation, deliverance, and perfection.

6. *Brightness of the prospect.*—In contrast to the gloomy picture of the world as commonly known stands in bold relief the bright prospect of the religious life as lived and taught by Mahāvīra The reassuring message which underlies all his teachings is that soul is the highest reality of life, and *nirvāna* is not extinction but the eternal and blissful condition of the soul in its perfection. This condition of soul is realizable in this very existence and solely by human efforts, if they are well-directed The development and manifestation of supreme personality is the visible fruition of religious efforts leading to liberation. The life of the Master offered for imitation by his earnest disciples stands as one of the highest examples of such a personality The heart of Jainism is not empty as Mrs Stevenson thinks, it is only emptied of all that go to constitute selfishness, haughtiness, cruelty, wickedness, inconsideration, and such immoral propensities These are removed so as to find the human heart filled with love, kindness, meekness, sincerity, and such other best qualities of character The best emblem of purity, nobility, beauty, and fullness of the Jaina life is the white lotus. Thus one reads in the

Sūtrakṛtāṅga, II, 1. 2, 3, 4: ' There is a lotus-pool containing much water and mud, very full and complete, answering to the idea one has of a lotus-pool, full of white lotuses, delightful, conspicuous, magnificent, and splendid. And everywhere all over the lotus-pool there grew many white lotuses, the best Nymphaeds, . . . in beautiful array, tall, brilliant, of fine colour, smell, taste, and touch. And in the very middle of this lotus-pool there grew one big white lotus, the best of Nymphaeds.'

7 *General view.*—According to Mahāvīra, the four precepts and self-privation are the recognized roads to the blissful state of the soul. The soul-substance is not a single all-pervading reality without a second of its kind The soul has no beginning or end So long it has to go round and round through the cycle of births and deaths, it has a form If the soul is to have a form by virtue of its own, then it cannot but be dull in sentient matter devoid of all consciousness and intelligence. If it be absolutely formless, then by the virtue of its being free from all activities, bondage, and freedom would become incompatible with its own nature, and *saṁsāra*, too, would be impossible. Mahāvīra laid a great stress on the activity of souls. The individual soul has innumerable units of space. The soul is uncreated and possessed of

the quality of existence. The soul knows and sees all, desires happiness, is afraid of pain, does friendly or unfriendly actions, and enjoys the fruits of them.[1] That which has consciousness is soul.[2] The soul in combination with the body is the doer of all actions. One should abstain from killing beings, theft, falsehood, sensual pleasure, and spirituous liquor. Those who do not renounce these, go to hell. A person will suffer the consequences of whatever may preponderate as between an act and forbearance from it A sage should wander about free from sins. Self should be subdued. A monk should avoid untruth, sinful speech and should not be deceitful. Nothing sinful, hurtful, and meaningless should be told by him He should sally forth and return at the right time He should collect alms freely given He must conquer twenty-two troubles, e g. hunger, thirst, cold, heat, nakedness, erratic life, women, dirt, ignorance, etc He should not walk beyond the prescribed time, remembering the teachings of Mahāvīra The pious obtains purity and the pure stands firmly in the law Delusion, pride, deceit, and greed should be avoided. Monks

---

[1] Pañcāstikāya, p 122.

[2] Dravyasamgraha, 2, 3

or householders who are trained in self-control and penance and who have obtained liberations by the absence of passion, go to the highest region. Those who are ignorant of the truth are subject to pain A person of pure faith always realizes the truth Those who have attachments for this world suffer An ignorant man kills, tells lies, steals foreign goods, and is desirous of women and pleasures. The sinners go to the world of Asuras and to the dark place

A monk should cast aside all fetters and all hatred Pious ascetics get over the impassable samsāra Stupid sinners go to hell through their superstitious beliefs One should not permit the killing of living beings. He should not commit sins in thoughts, words, and acts The pleasures are like a venomous snake The pleasures are the thorn that rankles and they should be given up like poison A true monk is one who does not care for his life, who abandons every delusion, who always practises austerities and avoids contact with wicked men and women A monk should not take from the householder, bed, lodging, food, drink, etc He who practises self-discipline, meditates on his soul, wise, hardy, calm, and does not hurt anybody is a true monk. He should not occupy places for sleep or rest frequented by

women. He should take up a detached lodging not frequented by women to preserve his chastity The pious monk should abstain from ornaments A monk should be steadfast, righteous, content, restrained, and attentive to his duties. Birth is misery Old age is misery and so are disease and death Nothing but misery is the samsāra, in which men suffer distress A monk should be impartial towards all beings in the world and should be careful to speak truth. He should keep the severe vow of chastity Mental and bodily penances should be practised He who possesses virtuous conduct and life, who has practised the best self-control, who keeps from sinful influences, and who has destroyed karma, will obtain *mukti* An ascetic will by means of his simplicity enter the path of *Nirvāna* Those who truly believe in the subject of exertion in righteousness taught by Mahāvīra, put faith in it, give credence to it, accept it, practise it, comply with it, study it, understand it, learn it, and act up to it, have obtained perfection, enlightenment, deliverance, final beatitude, and have put an end to all miseries

Meditation means abstaining to meditate on painful and sinful things One should with a collected mind engage in pure meditation on the law

There are three ways of committing sins · by one's own activity, by commission, and by approval of the dead. By purity of heart one reaches *Nirvāṇa*. Misery arises from wicked deeds. A very learned or a virtuous man or a Brahman or an ascetic will be severely punished for his deed when he is given to actions of deceit. A sage should always vanquish his passions. He should expound the law correctly. He should not neglect even the smallest duty. A wise man should abandon worldliness. He who abstains from cold water, and who does not eat food out of the dish of a householder, possesses right conduct. Those who are not subdued by the wicked pleasures know meditation to be their duty. A monk should not tell stories He should not indulge in deceit, greed, pride, and wrath. He should be free from attachment. The virtuous men regard pleasures as equal to diseases One should not kill living beings in the threefold way, being intent on his spiritual welfare and his soul, putting aside all undertakings. He should abstain from untrue speech and will not take that which is not freely given to him. *Nirvāṇa* consists in peace. Cruel sinners commit bad deeds and will sink into the dreadful hell which is full of darkness and sufferings. Those who are wicked, kill beings for

the sake of their own pleasures. Deceivers practise deceits in order to procure for themselves pleasures and amusement Sinful undertakings will in the end bring miseries Sinners acquire *karma* arising from passions and commit many sins The virtuous exert themselves for liberation. A pious man should eat little, drink little, and talk little He should also exert himself being calm, indifferent, and free from greed A monk who vigorously practises austerities, avoids anger and pride A wise man should renounce his interest in everything. A monk should be modest He should know correctly the sacred texts He should practise austerities and understand all details of the law Wise men teach the true law A monk should conform himself to the opinion expressed by the Jinas and wander about till he reaches final liberation

According to the Ācārānga-Sūtra a wise man should not act sinfully towards the aggregate of six kinds of life nor allow others to do so He should neither himself commit violence by various acts, nor order others to commit violence by such acts, nor consent to the violence done by somebody else He should adopt the true faith and stand in the right place A hero does not tolerate discontent and lust. He is not attached to the

objects of the senses and not careless A wise man who knows the world and has cast off the idea of the world should prudently do away with the destructions to righteousness. A liberated man conquers wrath, pride, deceit, greed, and passion He should avoid wrath, pride, deceit, greed, love, hatred, delusion, conception, birth, death, hell, animal existence, and pain. A wise man should not be inflamed by wrath. A man who exerts himself and is of a steady mind without attachment, unmoved by passion and having no worldly desires, should lead the life of an ascetic

A monk or a nun on a begging tour should not accept alms which are impure and unacceptable. He or she should not attend any festive entertainment Food placed on a platform or any such elevated place should not be accepted Food placed on vegetable or animal matter, unripe wild rice, sediments of liquor, raw plants, and raw substances should not be accepted He or she should not accept clothes which are full of living beings, but should accept clothes that are fit, strong, and lasting. A monk or a nun should not accept a bowl which a layman has bought. He or she should not accept very expensive bowl, made of tin, silver, gold, brass, mother-of-pearl, etc.

He or she must have to observe certain rules if they desire to go to a sugar-cane plantation or to a garlic field. He or she should not go to any place where there are many temptations. A monk must know and avoid five typical offences against the law of right belief: abstention from gross ill-usage of living beings, abstention from grossly lying speech, abstention from gross taking of things not given, limiting one's own desires, keeping uposatha (sabbath), right distribution of alms, etc. Karma accumulates energy and automatically works it off without any outside intervention. Karma is latent in all actions. When the soul by means of austerities and good actions has got rid of ignorance, it attains omniscience. Karma is intimately bound up with the soul.

Mahāvīra's great message to mankind is that birth is nothing, that caste is nothing, and that karma is everything and on the destruction of karma, the future happiness depends.

Concentration is indispensable for getting equanimity of mind and consequent spiritual illumination. We have to contemplate on blissfulness, truthfulness, honesty, chastity, contentedness, purity of body, and purity of mind. There are four ways to meditate on purity of mind: (1) love, (2) love towards the suffering world, (3) love to-

wards the happy, and (4) love towards the criminal or cruel person.

In this world of misery, disease, old age, and death, there is no other protection, refuge or help than the practice of the truth The continual cycle of births and deaths is not ended and therefore we should make some efforts to free ourselves from it Right knowledge, belief, and conduct, these three are the sources of happiness There are twenty-one qualities, the majority of which must be possessed before a person is ready to undertake the higher religious life (1) he must be earnest, (2) he must be of sound mind, (3) he must be pleasing by nature, (4) he must be popular, charitable, well-behaved, and of good moral character, (5) he must not be cruel, (6) he must be cautious and honest, (7) he will live according to some principle, (8) he will be compassionate and sympathetic, (9) he must be just and impartial, (10) he must be grateful, polite, intelligent, and of quick understanding, and (11) he must be self-controlled.

Knowledge is of five kinds (*a*) *mati-jñāna* (knowledge acquired by sense-perceptions) , (*b*) *śruta-jñāna* (knowledge acquired by reading the scriptures) , (*c*) *avadhi-jñāna* (knowledge of the distant, non-sensible in time or space possessed

by divine and internal souls), (*d*) *manahparyāya-jñāna* (knowledge of thoughts and feelings of others); and (*e*) *kevala-jñāna* (full or perfect knowledge)[1]

Leśyā is said to be that by means of which the soul is tinted with merit and demerit The *leśyās* arise from *yoga* or *kashāya*, namely, the vibrations due to the activity of body, mind or speech or the passions

Karma is the deed of the soul It is a material forming a subtle bond of extremely refined *karmic* matter which keeps the soul confined to its place of origin or the natural abode of full knowledge and everlasting peace There are four *ghātiya* or obstructive *karmas* (1) knowledge-obscuring *karma*, (2) faith-obscuring or perception-obscuring *karma*, (3) that which obstructs the progress or success of the soul, and (4) that which infatuates or deludes the soul All these destructive *karmas* retain the soul in mundane existence

According to Mahāvīra, this universe is eternal and it is nothing but the sum total of substances which have been existing from eternity and shall remain so for ever In this universe nothing new is created nor is anything annihilated The sub-

---

[1] Tatthvārtha-Sūtra, 1 9

stances of this eternal universe are described as *jīva* and *ajīva*, soul and no-soul. The characteristic of living substance is attention, consciousness, and attentiveness

Moksa is the essential point in the teaching of Mahāvīra which is generally understood as emancipation. It really means the attainment of the highest state of sanctification by the avoidance of pain and miseries of worldly life Even at this stage the soul appears to be the same without the least change in its state It is the *summum bonum* or the state of perfect beatitude as attained It may also mean final deliverance or liberation from the fetters of worldly life and total annihilation or extinction of human passion.

Much importance has been given to soul (attā) and *puggala* or individuality and personality *Karma* plays, no doubt, an important part in Jain metaphysics The Jain ethics has for its end the liberation or *moksa* The three jewels or three excellences are right faith, right knowledge, and right conduct The vows must be strictly observed by the monks. Laymen must observe them so far as their conditions admit. Then comes the *tapa* which is one of the most important institutions of Jainism. It is divided into internal and external *tapas*. The former comprises the

austerities practised by the Jains and the latter, spiritual exercises Fasting, as we know, is the most conspicuous austerity Jains have developed it to a kind of art and have reached a high proficiency in it

The tenets of Jainism as a practical religion consist of the following points · longing for liberation, disregard of worldly objects, desire of the law, obedience to the co-religionists and to the Guru, confession of sins before the Guru, repenting of one's sins to oneself and also before the Guru, moral and intellectual purity of the soul, adoration of the 24 Jinas, paying reverence to the Guru, adoption of a particular posture of the body, self-denial, praises and hymns, punctuality, practice of penances, forgiveness, study and recital of sacred texts . . concentration of thoughts, self-control, practice of austerities, purging oneself of impurities arising from *karma*, mental independence, using unfrequented places, remaining away from the world, renouncing pleasure, food, passions, company, etc , conforming to the standard, doing service, fulfilling all virtues, freedom from passion, forbearance, freedom from greed, simplicity, modesty, sincerity of heart, watchfulness of the mind, speech, and body, discipline of the mind, speech, and body, possession of knowledge, faith,

and virtue, subduing the organs of sense, conquering anger, pride, deceit, greed, love, hatred, and wrong belief, stability, and freedom from *karma.*[1]

---

[1] Uttarādhyayana, XXIX

INDEX

ERRATA

Page 70, lines 3 and 8—Read *five* in place of *six*.
Page 87, line 9—Read *intuition* in place of *intention*

Published by Luzac & Co , 46, Great Russell Street, London, W C 1, and Printed by P Knight, Baptist Mission Press, 41A, Lower Circular Road, Calcutta